Definitions are important? 1) Give meaning to symbols/hords 2) Single at ideas/rancepts 3) Distinguish on idea from related ones 4) Provide "fundational pillas" on which a theory can be puilt. tx: A positive integer is prime if it is not I, and its only positive divisor are I and itself. L'singles out "prime" as much studying. L'adistinguishes primes from composites... L'agives may to check primality

What constitutes a good definition? \* As general as possible. \* Be specifik. \* Uniquely pin down idea ( rancept . -> X Shald pruide interesting/wachil fundation. \* Be clear/concise.

Ex: The def of "prime" that I  
wrote down is good becaux of:  
Thm: Every integer NDI can be  
decomposed uniquely as a product  
$$N = P_1' P_2' \cdots P_k''', k > 1, r > 1$$
  
 $P_1 < P_2 < P_3 < \cdots < P_k, k > 1, r > 1$   
 $P_1 < P_2 < P_3 < \cdots < P_k, primes$   
Rem: If I is prime, this thm is  
Fallse: ey. 6 = 2:3 = 1 · 2:3'  
= 1 · 2:3'

Semantics

Every definition is an "if and only if" statement. ⇒ define term Sufficient condition. Ex A real number is botional iff · XEIR is rational => X=m, m,nell, nto · XER, X=M, n, nel = X is rational

The word "Is" > A) A prime is a pos integer twe, but B) A prime is an integer >1 w/ no pos. divisors except 1 def & itself. Alternative Defs \* Give different/valuable approaches \* Mare useful to develop certain aspects \* Allow impogent generalizations

Ex: Def: A positive integer p>1 is prime iff  $P(ab) \Longrightarrow p|a \text{ or } p|b$ for all ajbeZ. Fact: This def is equivalent to our generalier one. However the two defs are not equivalent for "number systems other than IL. EX: Z[FS]={atbFs/a,bez} 2.7 = (1+5)(1-5)

(onquerce Def (Euclid): The figures are conquest if they coincide, i.e. if one can be mared to the other by a "rigid motion" (preserves Size/shape). (ONS: \* MIRNY image Pros: \* pasy to understand \* Captures intrition

\* Bad in non-Euclidean geom!

7

Def: . Two line segments are congruent if they have equal length. . Two angles une conquert if they have equal measures "Two triangles are <u>congruent</u> if there is a bij. correspondence b/w their vertices s.t. (arresponding sides and angles are congruent.

Pros \* precise \* Walks in different glametries

\* very limited \* Loses intuition

Def: A plane transformation is a 1-1 function TIR->R. Def: A conquerce transformation (isometry) is a plane transformation T such that d(T(P), T(Q)) = d(P, Q)  $\forall P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ ,  $d(\cdot, \cdot) = d$  istance function Def: Two figures A, B = R ave congruent if I a (anguerre transformation T: R -> R with T(A) = B.