## Lecture 14 notes

klin@math.arizona.edu

March 3, 2020

## **Exit distributions**

Today, we largely followed Sect. 1.9 of the text. There are many more nice examples there than I can possibly cover. Some comments:

1) In Theorem 1.28, the condition

$$P_x(V_A \wedge V_B < \infty) > 0 \tag{1}$$

is necessary sufficient to ensure that the system of equations

$$h(x) = \sum_{y \in S} p(x, y)h(y)$$
(2)

with h(a) = 1 for  $a \in A$  and h(b) = 0 for  $b \in B$  has a unique solution. Note there always exists one solution, namely  $h(x) = P_x(V_A < V_B)$ , but Eq. (2) may be underdetermined, as we saw in the simple example in class. The above condition thus guarantees  $h(x) = P_x(V_A < V_B)$ .

2) The "heart" of the proof of Theorem 1.28, I think, is the formula

$$h(x) = E_x h(X_T) \tag{3}$$

where  $T = V_A \wedge V_B$ . If you found the closely related

$$h(x) = E_x h(X_{n \wedge T}) \tag{4}$$

mysterious, consider n = 2: first, as pointed out in the text, we have

$$h(x) = E_x h(X_1) \tag{5a}$$

$$=\sum_{y\in S}p(x,y)h(y).$$
(5b)

Let us split the sum up according to whether  $X_1 \in C$  or  $X_1 \notin C$ , obtaining

$$h(x) = \sum_{y \in C} p(x, y)h(y) + \sum_{y \in A \cup B} p(x, y)h(y)$$
(6)

When  $X_1 = y \in C$ , we can expand h(y) again using Eq. (2), so that

$$h(x) = \sum_{y \in C} p(x, y) \sum_{z \in S} p(y, z) h(z) + \sum_{y \in A \cup B} p(x, y) h(y)$$
(7a)

$$=\sum_{y\in C}\sum_{z\in S}p(x,y)p(y,z)h(z)+\sum_{y\in A\cup B}p(x,y)h(y)$$
(7b)

$$= \sum_{y \in C} \sum_{z \in S} P(X_0 = x, X_1 = y, X_2 = z)h(z) + \sum_{y \in A \cup B} P(X_0 = x, X_1 = y)h(y).$$
(7c)

But the above is exactly  $E_x h(X_{2\wedge T})$ : the first term corresponds to when  $X_1 \in C$ , so that  $T \ge 2$ , and the second term corresponds to when  $X_1 \notin C$ , so that T = 1. We can summarize the corresponding moves of  $X_n$  as: go two steps if possible, but if  $X_1 \in C$  already, then stop. This "stopped" Markov chain is exactly  $X_{2\wedge T}$ , and the sum above gives  $E_x h(X_{2\wedge T})$ . Repeating this argument yields Eq. (4), whose limit as  $n \to \infty$  gives Eq. (3).

3) The statement that

$$P_x(V_A \wedge V_B < \infty) > 0 \tag{8}$$

implies

$$P_x(V_A \wedge V_B < \infty) = 1 \tag{9}$$

can be seen as follows: Eq. (8) implies that for each x, there is an integer n(x) such that  $P_x(X_{n(x)} \in A \cup B)$ . Let  $n_0 = \max\{n(x) | x \in C\}$ , which is finite  $n_0$  because C is finite. Then we are guaranteed that for each x,  $P_x(V_T \leq n_0) > 0$ , i.e., there is some chance of reaching A or B in  $\leq n_0$  steps. Let  $p_0 = \min\{P_x(V_A \wedge V_B \leq n_0) | x \in C\}$ . Since C is finite,  $p_0 > 0$ . For  $x \in C$  and m > 0, we have

$$P_x(V_A \wedge V_B \ge mn_0) \le (1 - p_0)^m,\tag{10}$$

because for the event on the left to occur, we have to manage to go  $mn_0$  steps without hitting either *A* or *B*, and in  $mn_0$  steps we get at least *m* tries, each with a probability  $p_0$  of succeeding. Letting  $m \to \infty$ , we get Eq. (9).