

Lecture 7

(1)

Last class:

Theorem Let H_1 and H_2 be separable complex Hilbert spaces.

For any $A \in B(H_1)$ and $B \in B(H_2)$, there is a unique linear operator $A \otimes B \in B(H_1 \otimes H_2)$ for which

$$\square \quad (A \otimes B)(\phi \otimes \psi) = (A\phi) \otimes (B\psi)$$

holds for all $\phi \in H_1$ and $\psi \in H_2$. Moreover,

$$\|A \otimes B\| = \|A\| \cdot \|B\|.$$

Proof:

We set

$$M = \text{span} \{ \phi \otimes \psi : \phi \in H_1 \text{ and } \psi \in H_2 \}.$$

We saw that $M \subset H_1 \otimes H_2$ is a dense subspace.

Using \square , we defined a linear map $C_{AB} : M \rightarrow H_1 \otimes H_2$ and we checked that

- i) C_{AB} is well-defined
- ii) $C_{AB} \in B(M)$ with $\|C_{AB}\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|B\|$.

We will now show

$$\|C_{AB}\| \geq \|A\| \cdot \|B\|.$$

(7)

Now we have that

$$\|C_{A,B}\| = \|A\|\cdot\|B\|.$$

Using the BLT Theorem, there is a unique linear operator

$$A \otimes B = \overline{C_{A,B}} \in B(H_1 \otimes H_2) \quad \text{with} \quad \|A \otimes B\| = \|A\|\cdot\|B\|$$

as claimed.

To see this, we argue as follows.

Note: If $A=0$ or $B=0$, then $C_{A,B}=0$ by ii).

In this case, we are done.

Otherwise $A \neq 0$ and $B \neq 0$, and $0 < \min\{\|A\|, \|B\|\}$.

For any $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\|A\|, \|B\|\}$, $\exists \phi_\varepsilon \in H_1$ and $\psi_\varepsilon \in H_2$ for which

$$\|A\phi_\varepsilon\| \geq \|A\| - \varepsilon, \quad \|B\psi_\varepsilon\| \geq \|B\| - \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi_\varepsilon\| = \|\psi_\varepsilon\| = 1.$$

(This uses that $\|A\| = \sup_{\substack{\phi \in H_1 : \\ \phi \neq 0}} \frac{\|A\phi\|}{\|\phi\|}$.)

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \|C_{A,B}\| &\geq \|C_{A,B}(\phi_\varepsilon \otimes \psi_\varepsilon)\| \\ &= \|(A \otimes \varepsilon) \otimes (B \otimes \varepsilon)\| \\ &= \|A\| \cdot \|B\| \\ &\geq (\|A\| - \varepsilon)(\|B\| - \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we see that $\|C_{A,B}\| \geq \|A\| \cdot \|B\|$ and we are done.

More properties of tensor products of operators.

(3)

Proposition 1: Let H_1 and H_2 be separable complex Hilbert spaces.

a) The map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : B(H_1) \times B(H_2) \rightarrow B(H_1 \otimes H_2)$

given by $(A, B) \mapsto A \otimes B$ is bilinear.

b) For all $A_1, A_2 \in B(H_1)$ and $B_1, B_2 \in B(H_2)$,

$$(A_1, A_2) \otimes (B_1, B_2) = (A_1 \otimes B_1)(A_2 \otimes B_2).$$

c) For all $A \in B(H_1)$ and $B \in B(H_2)$,

$$(A \otimes B)^* = A^* \otimes B^*.$$

d) If $A_n \rightarrow A$ in $B(H_1)$ and $B_n \rightarrow B$ in $B(H_2)$,

then $A_n \otimes B_n \rightarrow A \otimes B$ in $B(H_1 \otimes H_2)$.

The proofs of part a) and part d) are homework.

Note: Given b), if A and B are invertible, then
 $A \otimes B$ is invertible. In fact,

$$(A \otimes B)(A^{-1} \otimes B^{-1}) = \underline{1L} \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Here need} \\ \text{"boundedly invertible"} \end{array} \right)$$

More on this in the homework.

Proof:

(4)

b) Let $\phi \in H_1$ and $\psi \in H_2$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} [(A_1 A_2) \otimes (B_1 B_2)](\phi \otimes \psi) &= (A_1 A_2 \phi) \otimes (B_1 B_2 \psi) \\ &= [(A_1 \otimes B_1)](A_2 \phi \otimes B_2 \psi) \\ &= [(A_1 \otimes B_1)(A_2 \otimes B_2)](\phi \otimes \psi) \end{aligned}$$

The above clearly extends to finite linear combinations and hence to the dense set $M = \text{span}\{\phi \otimes \psi : \phi \in H_1, \text{ and } \psi \in H_2\}$.

Thus these two bounded linear operators agree on a dense subspace. By the BLT Theorem, there is a unique extension to $B(H_1 \otimes H_2)$, and hence these operators agree.

c) Let $\phi, \tilde{\phi} \in H_1$ and $\psi, \tilde{\psi} \in H_2$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (A \otimes B)^*(\phi \otimes \psi), \tilde{\phi} \otimes \tilde{\psi} \rangle &= \langle \phi \otimes \psi, (A \otimes B)(\tilde{\phi} \otimes \tilde{\psi}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi \otimes \psi, (A \tilde{\phi}) \otimes (B \tilde{\psi}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi, A \tilde{\phi} \rangle \cdot \langle \psi, B \tilde{\psi} \rangle \\ &= \langle A^* \phi, \tilde{\phi} \rangle \cdot \langle B^* \psi, \tilde{\psi} \rangle \\ &= \langle A^* \phi \otimes B^* \psi, \tilde{\phi} \otimes \tilde{\psi} \rangle \\ &= \langle (A^* \otimes B^*)(\phi \otimes \psi), \tilde{\phi} \otimes \tilde{\psi} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

From this, we conclude that

(5)

- For each fixed $\phi \in H_1$ and $\psi \in H_2$, the above equality holds for all $\tilde{\phi} \in H_1$ and $\tilde{\psi} \in H_2$.
- Thus the equality holds for all $\tilde{\phi} \otimes \tilde{\psi} \in H_1 \otimes H_2$ and then for all elements of $M = \text{span}\{\tilde{\phi} \otimes \tilde{\psi}\}$ a dense subspace.
- This implies that $(A \otimes B)^*(\phi \otimes \psi) = (A^* \otimes B^*)(\phi \otimes \psi)$ for all $\phi \in H_1$ and $\psi \in H_2$. (as vectors)
- Arguing as before, these two bounded linear operators must then agree by the BCT Theorem.

Let us now consider self-adjoint operators.

By the previous proposition, if A and B are self-adjoint, then $A \otimes B$ is also self-adjoint. In general, the converse is not true. Here is a fact.

Proposition 2 : Let H_1 and H_2 be separable complex Hilbert spaces. Let $A \in B(H_1)$ and $B \in B(H_2)$.

a) If $A \otimes B + 0$ is self-adjoint and A (or B) is self-adjoint, then B (or A) is also self-adjoint.

(6)

b) If $A \otimes B \neq 0$ is self-adjoint, then there is a number $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ for which

$\tilde{A} = cA$ and $\tilde{B} = \frac{1}{c}B$ are self-adjoint operators.

Proof:

a) Suppose $A \otimes B \neq 0$ is self-adjoint and B is self-adjoint.

Note: $B \neq 0$ (since otherwise $A \otimes B = 0$) and thus there is

$\psi \in H_2 \setminus \{0\}$ for which $B\psi \neq 0$.

Note further that: For any $\phi \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned}(A\phi) \otimes (B\psi) &= (A \otimes B)(\phi \otimes \psi) = (A \otimes B)^*(\phi \otimes \psi) \\ &= (A^* \otimes B^*)(\phi \otimes \psi) \\ &= (A^*\phi) \otimes (B\psi)\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (A - A^*)\phi \otimes B\psi = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow A\phi = A^*\phi \quad \text{for all } \phi \in H,$$

$\Rightarrow A$ is self-adjoint.

The other case is almost identical.

(7)

b) Suppose $A \otimes B \neq 0$ is self-adjoint.

If A (or B) is self-adjoint, then by the previous result this holds with the choice of $c=1$.

Let us now assume that neither A nor B are self-adjoint.

choose $\psi \in H$ for which

- $B\psi \neq 0$ (true since $B \neq 0$)
- $\langle B\psi, \psi \rangle \neq 0$ (check!)

For any such choice, let $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in H$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A\phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \langle B\psi, \psi \rangle &= \langle A\phi_1 \otimes B\psi, \phi_2 \otimes \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle (A \otimes B)(\phi_1 \otimes \psi), \phi_2 \otimes \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi_1 \otimes \psi, (A \otimes B)(\phi_2 \otimes \psi) \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi_1, A\phi_2 \rangle \langle \psi, B\psi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Then for $c = \langle \psi, B\psi \rangle \neq 0$, we conclude that

$$\langle cA\phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle = \langle \phi_1, cA\phi_2 \rangle \quad \text{for all } \phi_1, \phi_2 \in H,$$

$\Rightarrow \tilde{A} = cA$ is self-adjoint.

Moreover, since

$$A \otimes B = \tilde{A} \otimes \tilde{B} \quad \text{with } \tilde{B} = \frac{1}{c}B$$

we conclude that \tilde{B} is self-adjoint by part a).

The following is a useful observation. (8)

Proposition 3

Let $A \in B(\mathbb{C}^m)$ and $B \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$ both be self-adjoint.

Let $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^m$ and $\{\mu_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be the eigenvalues of A and B respectively, each counted according to multiplicity.

Then

- i) The self-adjoint operator $A \otimes B$ has eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j \mu_k\}_{j,k \geq 1}$.
- ii) The self-adjoint operator

$$A \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes B$$

has eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j + \mu_k\}_{j,k \geq 1}$.

Proof:

By the spectral theorem, there are orthonormal bases

$\{e_j\}_{j=1}^m$ and $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^n$ of \mathbb{C}^m and \mathbb{C}^n respectively for which:

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j P_{e_j} = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j |e_j\rangle \langle e_j|$$

and

$$B = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k P_{f_k} = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k |f_k\rangle \langle f_k|$$

It is then clear that $\{e_j \otimes f_k\}_{j,k \geq 1}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$. (9)

Moreover

$$(A \otimes B)(e_j \otimes f_k) = \gamma_j \mu_k (e_j \otimes f_k)$$

and

$$[A \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes B](e_j \otimes f_k) = (\gamma_j + \mu_k)(e_j \otimes f_k)$$

with each relation holding for all $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Since $\{e_j \otimes f_k\}_{j,k \geq 1}$ is an OPB, this is a complete description of the spectrum of these operators.

Note: We also know the corresponding eigenvectors. 

Another useful observation about the tensor product of operators..

Theorem: Let H_1 and H_2 be separable complex Hilbert spaces. Let $A \in B(H_1)$ and $B \in B(H_2)$. Denote by

$$H = A \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes B \in B(H_1 \otimes H_2).$$

One has that

$$e^H = e^A \otimes e^B.$$

(10)

The above theorem holds for arbitrary bounded operators A and B.

Recall: If H is a Hilbert space and $A \in B(H)$, then

$$e^A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^n}{n!}.$$

- This series converges in $B(H)$.
- When A is self-adjoint, this definition coincides with the operator defined via functional calculus.
- This is how the equation

$$e^H = e^A \otimes e^B$$

is to be understood.

An immediate corollary of this theorem is:

Corollary Let H_1 and H_2 be separable complex Hilbert spaces.

Let $A \in B(H_1)$ with A self-adjoint.

Let $B \in B(H_2)$ with B self-adjoint.

For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$e^{-itH} = e^{-itA} \otimes e^{-itB}$$

where $H = A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B$.

In words, this corollary says that the Schrödinger evolution corresponding to H is just the tensor product of the Schrödinger evolutions of A and B . (11)

This is because $H = A \otimes 1I + 1I \otimes B$ is a "non-interacting" Hamiltonian.

The proof of the corollary is simple.

Note that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$-itH = (-itA) \otimes 1I + 1I \otimes (-tB)$$

and both $(-itA)$ and $(-tB)$ are bounded if A and B are bounded and t is fixed.

To prove the theorem, first recall a simple fact.

For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$e^{x+y} = e^x \cdot e^y.$$

One can prove this with Taylor series:

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{x+y} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x+y)^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k y^{n-k} \\
 &\quad \xrightarrow{\text{binomial expansion}} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^k} \frac{x^k}{k!(n-k)!} y^{n-k} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^m}{m!} = e^x \cdot e^y
 \end{aligned}$$

we use this fact as follows.

(12)

Let $X, Y \in B(H)$ and suppose $[X, Y] = 0$ (i.e. $XY = YX$.)

Then $e^{X+Y} = e^X \cdot e^Y$.

(Since the bounded operators commute, the analogue of the binomial expansion holds. In this case, the previous argument holds for operators too!)

we apply this as follows.

Let $X = A \otimes 1_1$ and $Y = 1_H \otimes B$.

Then $XY = (A \otimes 1_1)(1_H \otimes B) = A \otimes B = (1_H \otimes B)(A \otimes 1_1) = YX$.

Thus $e^H = e^{A \otimes 1_1} \cdot e^{1_H \otimes B}$.

We will now show that

$$e^{A \otimes 1_1} = e^A \otimes 1_1 \quad \text{and similarly} \quad e^{1_H \otimes B} = 1_H \otimes e^B.$$

Given this

$$e^H = e^{A \otimes 1_1} \cdot e^{1_H \otimes B} = (e^A \otimes 1_1)(1_H \otimes e^B) = e^A \otimes e^B$$

and we are done!

(13)

We prove this result for $A \otimes 1$.

The result for $1 \otimes B$ is quite similar.

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{A \otimes 1} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(A \otimes 1)^n}{n!} \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{(A \otimes 1)^n}{n!} \quad \leftarrow \text{just calculate.} \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{A^n \otimes 1}{n!} \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{n=0}^N \frac{A^n}{n!} \right) \otimes 1 \quad \leftarrow \text{bilinearity} \\
 &= e^A \otimes 1 \quad \leftarrow \text{use Proposition 1 d).}
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.