# Arithmetic Quantum Unique Ergodicity

Manfred Einsiedler ETH Zürich Arizona Winter School

14. March 2010

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

## First Goal: Theorem (microlocal lift).

Let  $\Gamma \leq SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  be a lattice, and let  $M = \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}$ . Suppose that  $(\phi_i)$  is an  $L^2$ -normalized sequence of eigenfunctions of  $\Delta$  in  $C^{\infty}(M) \cap L^2(M)$ , with the corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  satisfying  $|\lambda_i| \to \infty$  as  $i \to \infty$ , and assume that the weak\*-limit  $\mu$  of  $|\phi_i|^2 \operatorname{dvol}_M$  exists. If  $\widetilde{\phi_i}$  denotes the sequence of lifted functions defined later, then (possibly after choosing a subsequence to achieve convergence) the weak\*-limit  $\widetilde{\mu}$  of  $|\widetilde{\phi_i}|^2 \operatorname{d} m_X$  has the following properties:

[L] Projecting  $\widetilde{\mu}$  on  $X = \Gamma \setminus G$  to  $M = \Gamma \setminus G/K$  gives  $\mu$ .

[I]  $\tilde{\mu}$  is invariant under the right action of *A*.

The measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  is called a *microlocal lift* of  $\mu$ , or a *quantum limit* of  $(\phi_i)$ .

#### Fourier series – the action of K

For  $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$ 

$$f_n(x) = f *_{\mathcal{K}} e_n(x) = \int_{\mathcal{K}} f(xk_\theta) e_n(-k_\theta) \, \mathrm{d}m_{\mathcal{K}}(k_\theta),$$

which is an eigenfunction under K in the sense that

$$f_n(xk_{\psi}) = f_n(x)e_n(k_{\psi})$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

#### Fourier series – the action of K

For  $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$ 

$$f_n(x) = f *_{\mathcal{K}} e_n(x) = \int_{\mathcal{K}} f(xk_{\theta})e_n(-k_{\theta}) dm_{\mathcal{K}}(k_{\theta}),$$

which is an eigenfunction under K in the sense that

$$f_n(xk_{\psi}) = f_n(x)e_n(k_{\psi})$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

We have  $f = \sum_{n} f_{n}$ .

# *K*-finite functions

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{f \mid f(xk_\theta) = e_n(k_\theta)f(x)\}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

# *K*-finite functions

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{f \mid f(xk_\theta) = e_n(k_\theta)f(x)\} = \{f : W * f = inf\}$$

*f* is *K*-finite if 
$$f \in \sum_{n=-N}^{N} A_n$$
 for some *n*.

#### Proposition.

For  $m, w \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$m \circ w - w \circ m = [m, w]$$

where [m, w] = mw - wm is the Lie bracket, defined by the difference of the matrix products. More concretely, this means that

$$m * (w * f) - w * (m * f) = ([m, w]) * f$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

for any  $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$ .

## Proposition.

Let

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{U}^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{U}^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U}^+ - \mathcal{U}^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the degree-2 element – called the *Casimir element* (or *Casimir operator*) – defined by

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{c} &= \mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{H} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{U}^{+} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-} + \mathcal{U}^{-} \circ \mathcal{U}^{+} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{H} + \frac{1}{4} \left( \mathcal{U}^{+} + \mathcal{U}^{-} \right) \circ \left( \mathcal{U}^{+} + \mathcal{U}^{-} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{W} \circ \mathcal{W}, \end{split}$$

is fixed under the action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  (equivalently, under the derived action of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ ).

For  $f \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}) \subseteq C^{\infty}(\Gamma \setminus SL_2(\mathbb{R}))$ , we have

$$\Omega_{c} * f = y^{2} \left( \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \right) f = \Delta f.$$
(1)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

The *raising operator* is the element of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  given by

$$\mathcal{E}^{+} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{H} + \frac{i}{2} (\mathcal{U}^{+} + \mathcal{U}^{-}), \qquad (2)$$

and the *lowering operator* is the element of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  given by

$$\mathcal{E}^{-} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{H} - \frac{i}{2} (\mathcal{U}^{+} + \mathcal{U}^{-}).$$
(3)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

The operators  $\mathcal{E}^+$  and  $\mathcal{E}^-$  raise and lower in the sense that

$$\mathcal{E}^+ : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n+2},$$
  
 $\mathcal{E}^- : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n-2}$ 

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .



The operators  $\mathcal{E}^+$  and  $\mathcal{E}^-$  raise and lower in the sense that

$$\mathcal{E}^+ : \mathcal{A}_n \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{A}_{n+2},$$
  
 $\mathcal{E}^- : \mathcal{A}_n \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{A}_{n-2}$ 

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We have  $\overline{\mathcal{E}^+} = \mathcal{E}^-$ ,  $\mathcal{E}^+ + \mathcal{E}^- = 4\mathcal{H}$ , and

$$\Omega_{c} = \mathcal{E}^{-} \circ \mathcal{E}^{+} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{W} \circ \mathcal{W} - \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{E}^{+} \circ \mathcal{E}^{-} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{W} \circ \mathcal{W} + \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{W}.$$

# Adjoints of elements of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$

Let  $m \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ , and consider  $m_*$  as an unbounded operator on  $L^2(SL_2(\mathbb{R}))$  with domain  $C_c^{\infty}(SL_2(\mathbb{R}))$ . Then

$$(m*)^*f = -\overline{m}*f$$

for  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(SL_2(\mathbb{R}))$ . By definition, this means that for  $f_1, f_2 \in C_c^{\infty}(SL_2(\mathbb{R}))$  we have

$$\langle m * f_1, f_2 \rangle = - \langle f_1, \overline{m} * f_2 \rangle.$$

If  $f \in C$ , then

$$(\Omega_c *)^* f = \Omega_c * f$$
, and  
 $(\mathcal{E}^{\pm} *)^* f = -\mathcal{E}^{\mp} * f.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

If  $f \in C$ , then

$$(\Omega_c *)^* f = \Omega_c * f$$
, and  
 $(\mathcal{E}^{\pm} *)^* f = -\mathcal{E}^{\mp} * f.$ 

If  $f \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{A}_n$  satisfies  $\Omega_c \cdot f = \lambda f$  with  $\lambda = -(\frac{1}{4} + r^2)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{E}^+ * f\|_2 &= |ir + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}n| \|f\|_2, \text{ and} \\ \|\mathcal{E}^- * f\|_2 &= |ir + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}n| \|f\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

From now on  $\phi$  has weight 0 and  $\Delta$ -eigenvalue  $\lambda = -(\frac{1}{4} + r^2)$ 

Inductively define functions by

$$\phi_0(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{K}) \in \mathcal{A}_0,$$

and

$$\phi_{2n+2} = \frac{1}{ir + \frac{1}{2} + n} \mathcal{E}^+ * \phi_{2n} \in \mathcal{A}_{2n+2} \text{ for } n \ge 0, \quad (4)$$
  
$$\phi_{2n-2} = \frac{1}{ir + \frac{1}{2} - n} \mathcal{E}^- * \phi_{2n} \in \mathcal{A}_{2n-2} \text{ for } n \le 0. \quad (5)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

From now on  $\phi$  has weight 0 and  $\Delta$ -eigenvalue  $\lambda = -(\frac{1}{4} + r^2)$ 

Inductively define functions by

$$\phi_0(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{K}) \in \mathcal{A}_0,$$

and

$$\phi_{2n+2} = \frac{1}{ir + \frac{1}{2} + n} \mathcal{E}^+ * \phi_{2n} \in \mathcal{A}_{2n+2} \text{ for } n \ge 0, \quad (4)$$
  
$$\phi_{2n-2} = \frac{1}{ir + \frac{1}{2} - n} \mathcal{E}^- * \phi_{2n} \in \mathcal{A}_{2n-2} \text{ for } n \le 0. \quad (5)$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

These formulas hold for all *n* and  $\|\phi_{2n}\| = 1$ .

Define, for  $N = N(\lambda)$  to be chosen later,

$$\widetilde{\phi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N+1}} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \phi_{2n},$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

#### Theorem

Let  $\phi \in L^2(M)$  be an eigenfunction of  $\Delta$  with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda = -(\frac{1}{2} + r^2)$ . If  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(M)$ , then

$$\int f |\widetilde{\phi}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}m_X = \langle f\phi, \phi \rangle_{L^2(M)} + \mathcal{O}\left(Nr^{-1}\right)$$
$$= \int f |\phi|^2 \, \mathrm{dvol}_M + \mathcal{O}\left(Nr^{-1}\right)$$

More generally, if *f* is a *K*-finite function in  $C_c^{\infty}(M)$ , then

$$\int f |\widetilde{\phi}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}m_X = \left\langle f \sum_{n=-N}^N \phi_{2n}, \phi \right\rangle_{L^2(X)} + \mathcal{O}_f\left(\max\{N^{-1}, Nr^{-1}\}\right)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

### Corollary

Suppose that  $N = N(\lambda)$  is a function of  $\lambda$  chosen so that  $Nr^{-1} = O(N|\lambda|^{-1/2}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ . Assume also that  $(\phi_i)$  is a sequence of Maass cusp forms, with corresponding eigenvalues  $|\lambda_i| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , and that  $|\phi_i|^2 \operatorname{dvol}_M$  converges weak<sup>\*</sup>. Then any weak<sup>\*</sup>-limit of  $|\phi_i|^2 \operatorname{dvol}_M$  projects to the weak<sup>\*</sup>-limit of  $|\phi_i|^2 \operatorname{dvol}_M$ .

## Theorem (Zelditch)

If  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(X)$  is a *K*-finite function, and *N* is sufficiently large (the lower bound depends on *f*), then

$$\left\langle \left[ (r\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{V}) * f \right] \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \phi_{2n}, \phi_0 \right\rangle = 0$$

for some fixed degree-two differential operator  $\mathcal{V}$ . In particular,

$$\left\langle (\mathcal{H} * f) \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \phi_{2n}, \phi_0 \right\rangle = \mathcal{O}_f(r^{-1}).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

## Corollary

Suppose that *N* is defined as a function of  $\lambda$  so as to ensure that  $Nr^{-1} \rightarrow 0$  and  $N^{-1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ . Assume that  $(\phi_i)$  is a sequence of Maass cusp forms with corresponding eigenvalues  $|\lambda_i| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , and that  $|\phi_i|^2 \operatorname{dvol}_M$  converges weak<sup>\*</sup>. Then any weak<sup>\*</sup>-limit of  $|\widetilde{\phi_i}|^2 \operatorname{d}m_X$  is invariant under the geodesic flow.

#### Classical definition of Hecke operators

Let  $M = SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathbb{H}$ , let *p* be a prime, and let *f* be a function on *M*. Then the action of the Hecke operator  $T_p$  on *f* is defined by

$$(T_p(f))(z) = \frac{1}{p+1} \left[ f(pz) + \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{z+j}{p}\right) \right]$$

## Second Definition, using PGL<sub>2</sub>

Let  $X = PGL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus PGL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , let *p* be a prime, and let *f* be a function on *X*. Then the Hecke operator  $T_p$  is defined by sending *f* to the normalized sum

$$(T_{p}(f))([\Lambda]) = \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{\substack{\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda, \\ [\Lambda:\Lambda'] = p}} f([\Lambda'])$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

of the values of f on all the sublattices  $\Lambda'$  of  $\Lambda$  with index p.

## Proposition

If  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  is a lattice, then there are p + 1 subgroups  $\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda$  with index p. The definition of  $T_p(f)$  defines a function on the homothety classes  $[\Lambda] \in PGL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus PGL_2(\mathbb{R})$  of lattices  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ . Finally, for any  $g \in PGL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , we have  $g \cdot T_p(f) = T_p(g \cdot f)$ .

For any *p* the *p*-Hecke operator  $T_p$  commutes with any differential operator  $m \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  (or even any element of the enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ ).

In particular, if  $\phi$  is a Maas cusp form that is an eigenfunction of  $T_p$ , then  $\tilde{\phi}$  is also an eigenfunction of  $T_p$ .

# p-adic extension

Embed  $PGL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$  as a subset of  $PGL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times PGL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ diagonally, by sending  $\gamma$  to  $(\gamma, \gamma)$ . Then  $PGL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$  is a lattice in

 $\operatorname{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \operatorname{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p),$ 

and the double quotient

$$\mathsf{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{\rho}]) \backslash \mathsf{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathsf{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\rho) / \operatorname{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\rho)$$

is naturally isomorphic to

 $PGL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus PGL_2(\mathbb{R}).$ 

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### Lemma

$$\mathcal{K}_{\rho} \begin{pmatrix} \rho & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{K}_{\rho} = \mathcal{K}_{\rho} \begin{pmatrix} \rho & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{j=0}^{\rho-1} \mathcal{K}_{\rho} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ & \rho \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{K}_{\rho} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \rho \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{K}_{\rho}.$$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖 めぬぐ

#### Lemma

$$K_{\rho}\begin{pmatrix} \rho & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} K_{\rho} = K_{\rho}\begin{pmatrix} \rho & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{j=0}^{\rho-1} K_{\rho}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ & \rho \end{pmatrix} = K_{\rho}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \rho \end{pmatrix} K_{\rho}.$$

Choose a Haar measure on  $PGL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  with  $m(K_p) = 1$ .

For a function *f* on  $PGL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]) \setminus PGL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times PGL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , we may obtain the *p*-Hecke operator by the convolution

$$T_{p}(f) = \frac{1}{p+1}f * \mathbf{1}_{K_{p}}\begin{pmatrix}p\\&1\end{pmatrix}_{K_{p}}$$

For a function *f* on  $PGL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus PGL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , this agrees with  $T_p$  as defined before.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

## Corollary

# $T_p$ is a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(PGL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus PGL_2(\mathbb{R}))$ (and on $L^2(PGL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]) \setminus PGL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times PGL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ ).

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)