

1. SCHEME THEORETIC INTERSECTION

If $i : Z \rightarrow X$ and $i' : Z' \rightarrow X$ are closed immersions of ringed spaces and there exists a compatible map $f : Z \rightarrow Z'$ then f is also a closed immersion. If in addition there exists a compatible $f' : Z' \rightarrow Z$ then necessarily $f \circ f' = \text{id}_{Z'}$ and $f' \circ f = \text{id}_Z$.

We say the two closed immersions $Z, Z' \rightarrow X$ are *equivalent* if there exists a compatible isomorphism $Z \simeq Z'$. Such a map is unique if it exists.

Definition 1.1. Let $Z, Z' \rightarrow X$ be closed immersions of schemes. The *scheme theoretic intersection* of Z, Z' is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z \cap Z' = Z \times_X Z' & \longrightarrow & Z' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

and $Z \cap Z'$ is realized, via either projection, as a closed subscheme of X whose image is contained in Z and Z' .

Observe that if $Y \rightarrow X$ is a closed subscheme contained in Z and Z' then we get the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & & & & \\ & \searrow & & \searrow & \\ & & Z \times_X Z' & \longrightarrow & Z' \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & Z & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

by the universal property of the fiber product $Z \times_X Z'$, so that Y is contained in $Z \cap Z'$.

Proposition 1.2. *The topological space $|Z \cap Z'|$ of $Z \cap Z'$ is $|Z| \cap |Z'|$.*

Proof. Let $U = X - Z \times_X Z'$ and observe that U is open. Observe that $U = (X - Z) \cup (X - Z')$. Indeed, $U, (X - Z), (X - Z')$ are open subschemes of X and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ factors through U if and only if for all $y \in Y$ we have $f(y) \notin Z \times_X Z'$, that is, if and only if the map $\text{Spec } \kappa(f(y)) \rightarrow Y$ fails to factor through Z and Z' (using the universal property of the fiber product). Since $\text{Spec } \kappa(f(y))$ is reduced, such factorization fails if and only if $f(y) \notin Z$ or $f(y) \notin Z'$, i.e. if and only if $f(y) \in (X - Z) \cup (X - Z')$. Thus, $f : Y \rightarrow X$ factors through U if and only if it factors through $(X - Z) \cup (X - Z')$, so the two opens are uniquely isomorphic as they have the same universal property. ■

We now ask: what is the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J}_{Z \cap Z'} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$?

Proposition 1.3. *We have $\mathcal{J}_{Z \cap Z'} = \mathcal{J}_Z + \mathcal{J}_{Z'}$ inside \mathcal{O}_X , where for any two sheaves \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} on a space Y the sum $\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G}$ is the sheaf image of $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$ under the addition map.*

Proof. Everything is local on X , so we may assume $X = \text{Spec } A$ and $Z = \text{Spec } A/I$ and $Z' = \text{Spec } A/I'$. Let us compute stalks at $x \in X$. We have

$$Z \times_X Z' = \text{Spec}(A/I \otimes_A A/I') = \text{Spec } A/(I + I')$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_{Z \cap Z'} = (I + I')_{\mathfrak{p}} = I_{\mathfrak{p}} + I'_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

in $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Thus we have $\mathcal{J}_{Z \cap Z',x} = \mathcal{J}_{Z,x} + \mathcal{J}_{Z',x} = (\mathcal{J}_Z + \mathcal{J}_{Z'})_x$. ■

As an example, suppose that $\text{char } k \neq 2$ and put $C_i = \text{Spec } k[x, y]/(y + (-1)^i x^n)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$C_1 \cap C_2 = \text{Spec } k[x, y]/(y - x^n, y + x^n) = \text{Spec } k[x]/x^n \hookrightarrow \text{Spec } k[x].$$

2. REMARKS ON BASE CHANGE

If k is a field and X a finite type k -scheme, it is important to study behavior of properties of morphisms and of X with respect to extension of k . So for example, if k'/k is an extension and $X' = X \otimes_k k' = X \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } k'$, then X being irreducible does *not* imply that X' is, and similarly for reduced.

We would like to descend properties. That is, we wish to know that if a property holds after base change, then it held before base change. It will turn out that if $\pi : S' \rightarrow S$ is any base change that is *faithfully flat* (i.e. flat and surjective) then most “nice” properties will hold after base change if and only if they held before base change. A useful principle for finite type schemes over a field k is that we can check many properties over any algebraically closed extension of a field k . We will see this later.

Flat maps will turn out to have especially nice properties. For example, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a flat map of locally noetherian schemes and suppose $f(x) = y$. Then $\dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} + \dim \mathcal{O}_{X_y,x}$. The key point here is that $\mathcal{O}_{X_y,x} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_y \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$.

3. GROUP SCHEMES

Let \mathcal{C} be the category of sets and $\{\cdot\}$ the final object. A *group object* G is an object G together with a triple of morphisms:

$$(G, G \times G \xrightarrow{m} G, G \xrightarrow{i} G, \{\cdot\} \xrightarrow{e} G)$$

such that the following diagrams commute:

(1) Associativity:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G \times G & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times m} & G \times G \\ \downarrow m \times \text{id} & & \downarrow m \\ G \times G & \xrightarrow{m} & G \end{array}$$

(2) Identity:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times \{\cdot\} = \{\cdot\} \times G & \xrightarrow{e \times \text{id}} & G \times G \\ \downarrow \text{id} \times e & \searrow \text{id} & \downarrow m \\ G \times G & \xrightarrow{m} & G \end{array}$$

(3) Inverse:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times i} & G \times G \\
 \downarrow i \times \text{id} & \searrow & \downarrow m \\
 & & \{\cdot\} \\
 & & \searrow e \\
 G \times G & \xrightarrow{m} & G
 \end{array}$$

It is clear that in any category with finite products and a final object we have a notion of a group object. For example, let \mathcal{C} be the category of S -schemes. Here the final object is S and products are fiber products over S . So an S -group G is a scheme $\pi : G \rightarrow S$ together with a section $e : S \rightarrow G$ such that $\pi \circ e = e \circ \pi = \text{id}$ and maps $m : G \times_S G \rightarrow G$ and $i : G \rightarrow G$ making the above three diagrams commute.

As an example, consider $\mathbf{G}_a = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1$. We have $\mathbf{G}_a(Y) = \text{Hom}(Y, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1) = \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$, which is an additive group, and this is natural in Y , *i.e.* a map $Y' \rightarrow Y$ gives a map of groups $\mathbf{G}_a(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_a(Y')$. It will follow by Yoneda's lemma, which we will see later, that \mathbf{G}_a is a group scheme.

Another important example is $\text{GL}_n = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{Z}[X_i]_{(\det)})$, and $\text{GL}_n(Y)$ is the set of $n \times n$ matrices having entries in $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ with determinant in $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y^\times)$, functorially in Y as a group via multiplication. The simplest case is $\mathbf{G}_m = \text{GL}_1 = \text{Spec} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1 - \{0\} = \text{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[X, X^{-1}]$. Here, $\mathbf{G}_m(Y) = \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y^\times)$. The map $\mathbf{G}_m(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m(Y)$ given by $u \mapsto u^n$ has kernel $\mu_n(Y)$, the Y -points of $\mu_n = \text{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[X]/(X^n - 1)$. This is a closed subscheme of \mathbf{G}_m . We can even consider the μ_p/\mathbf{F}_p , that is, the fiber of $\mu_p \rightarrow \text{Spec} \mathbf{Z}$ over p . This is naturally a group scheme, $\text{Spec} \mathbf{F}_p[T]/(T^p - 1)$ whose Y points are $\mu_p(Y) = \{u \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y^\times) : u^p = 1\}$.