

# Testing Hypotheses

March 11-13, 2008

## 1 Simple Hypotheses

In the simplest set-up for a **statistical hypothesis**, we consider two values  $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in \Theta$ , the parameter space. We write the test as

$$H_0 : \theta = \theta_0 \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1 : \theta = \theta_1.$$

$H_0$  is called the **null hypothesis**.  $H_1$  is called the **alternative hypothesis**. For this hypothesis test, the action space  $A$  has two points 0 and 1. As before, the decision function

$$d : \text{data} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}.$$

The loss function  $\mathcal{L}$  must satisfy

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta_0, 0) \leq \mathcal{L}(\theta_0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}(\theta_1, 1) \leq \mathcal{L}(\theta_1, 0).$$

Without loss of generality, we can take the 0 – 1 –  $c$  loss function

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta_0, 0) = \mathcal{L}(\theta_1, 1) = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}(\theta_1, 0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}(\theta_0, 1) = c.$$

This gives a risk function

$$\mathcal{R}(\theta_0, d) = P_{\theta_0}\{d(X) = 1\}, \quad \mathcal{R}(\theta_1, d) = cP_{\theta_1}\{d(X) = 1\}.$$

Typically, we shall choose  $c = 1$ .

- The action  $a = 1$  is called **rejecting the hypothesis**. Rejecting the hypothesis when it is true is called a **type I error**. Its probability  $\alpha = P_{\theta_0}\{d(X) = 1\}$  is called the **size of the test**.
- The action  $a = 0$  is called **failing to reject the hypothesis**. Failing to reject the hypothesis when it is false, called a **type II error**, has probability  $\beta = P_{\theta_1}\{d(X) = 0\}$ . The **power of the test**  $1 - \beta = P_{\theta_1}\{d(X) = 1\}$ .

Given observations  $X$ , the rejection of the hypothesis is based on whether or not  $X$  lands in a **critical region**  $C$ . Thus,

$$d(X) = 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad X \in C.$$

Given a choice  $\alpha$  for the size of the test, the choice of decision function  $d$  or equivalently, critical region  $C$  is called **best** or **most powerful** if for any choice of critical region  $C^*$  and corresponding decision function,

$$d^*(\mathbf{x}) = I_{C^*}(\mathbf{x})$$

for a size  $\alpha$  test,

$$\beta = P_{\theta_1}\{d(X) = 0\} \leq P_{\theta_1}\{d^*(X) = 0\} = \beta^*$$

or in terms of the critical regions.

$$\beta = 1 - P_{\theta_1}\{X \in C\}, \quad \beta^* = 1 - P_{\theta_1}\{X \in C^*\}. \quad (1)$$

and  $\beta \leq \beta^*$ .

## 2 The Neyman-Pearson Lemma

The Neyman-Pearson lemma tell us that the best test for a simple hypothesis is a **likelihood ratio test**.

**Theorem 1** (Neyman-Pearson Lemma). *Let  $L(\theta|\mathbf{x})$  denote the likelihood function for the random variable  $X$  corresponding to the probability measure  $P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta$ . If there exists a critical region  $C$  of size  $\alpha$  and a nonnegative constant  $k$  such that*

$$\frac{\mathbf{L}(\theta_1|\mathbf{x})}{\mathbf{L}(\theta_0|\mathbf{x})} \geq k \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in C$$

and

$$\frac{\mathbf{L}(\theta_1|\mathbf{x})}{\mathbf{L}(\theta_0|\mathbf{x})} \leq k \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \notin C,$$

then  $C$  is the most powerful critical region of size  $\alpha$ .

*Proof.* Let  $C^*$  be a critical region of size less than or equal to  $\alpha$ . Let  $\beta$  and  $\beta^*$  denote, respectively, the probability of type II error for the critical regions  $C$  and  $C^*$  respectively. The theorem is to show that  $\beta^* \geq \beta$ .

Write  $C$  and  $C^*$  as the disjoint union.

$$C = (C \setminus C^*) \cup (C \cap C^*), \quad \text{and} \quad C^* = (C^* \setminus C) \cup (C \cap C^*).$$

Thus,

$$\alpha = P_{\theta_0}\{X \in C\} = P_{\theta_0}\{X \in C \setminus C^*\} + P_{\theta_0}\{X \in C \cap C^*\}.$$

and

$$\alpha \geq P_{\theta_0}\{X \in C^*\} = P_{\theta_0}\{X \in C^* \setminus C\} + P_{\theta_0}\{X \in C \cap C^*\}.$$

Consequently,

$$P_{\theta_0}\{X \in C \setminus C^*\} = \alpha - P_{\theta_0}\{X \in C \cap C^*\} \geq P_{\theta_0}\{X \in C^* \setminus C\}. \quad (2)$$

From equation (1), we obtain

$$\beta^* - \beta = P_{\theta_1}\{X \in C\} - P_{\theta_1}\{X \in C^*\} = \int_C \mathbf{L}(\theta_1|\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} - \int_{C^*} \mathbf{L}(\theta_1|\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

Now subtract from both of the integrals the quantity

$$P_{\theta_1}\{X \in C \cap C^*\} = \int_{C \cap C^*} \mathbf{L}(\theta_1|\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

to find that

$$\beta^* - \beta = P_{\theta_1}\{X \in C \setminus C^*\} - P_{\theta_1}\{X \in C^* \setminus C\} = \int_{C \setminus C^*} \mathbf{L}(\theta_1|\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} - \int_{C^* \setminus C} \mathbf{L}(\theta_1|\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. \quad (3)$$

For  $\mathbf{x} \in C \setminus C^* \subset C$ ,  $\mathbf{L}(\theta_1|\mathbf{x}) \geq k\mathbf{L}(\theta_0|\mathbf{x})$  and

$$\int_{C \setminus C^*} \mathbf{L}(\theta_1|\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \geq k \int_{C \setminus C^*} \mathbf{L}(\theta_0|\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. \quad (4)$$

For  $\mathbf{x} \in C^* \setminus C \subset C^*$ ,  $\mathbf{L}(\theta_1|\mathbf{x}) \leq k\mathbf{L}(\theta_0|\mathbf{x})$  and

$$\int_{C^* \setminus C} \mathbf{L}(\theta_1|\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \leq k \int_{C^* \setminus C} \mathbf{L}(\theta_0|\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. \quad (5)$$

Apply inequalities (4) and (5) to inequality (3).

$$\beta^* - \beta \geq k \int_{C \setminus C^*} \mathbf{L}(\theta_0|\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} - k \int_{C^* \setminus C} \mathbf{L}(\theta_0|\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = k \left( \int_{C \setminus C^*} \mathbf{L}(\theta_0|\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} - \int_{C^* \setminus C} \mathbf{L}(\theta_0|\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \right) \geq 0$$

by inequality (2). □

If  $T$  is a sufficient statistic, then the likelihood ratio

$$\frac{\mathbf{L}(\theta_1|\mathbf{x})}{\mathbf{L}(\theta_0|\mathbf{x})} = \frac{h(\mathbf{x})g(\theta_1, T(x))}{h(\mathbf{x})g(\theta_0, T(x))} = \frac{g(\theta_1, T(x))}{g(\theta_0, T(x))}$$

depends only on the value of the sufficient statistic and the parameter values.

### 3 Examples

**Example 2.** Let  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be independent normal observations with unknown mean and known variance  $\sigma^2$ . The hypothesis is

$$H_0 : \mu = \mu_0 \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1 : \mu = \mu_1.$$

The likelihood ratio

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbf{L}(\mu_1|\mathbf{x})}{\mathbf{L}(\mu_0|\mathbf{x})} &= \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_1-\mu_1)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \cdots \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_n-\mu_1)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_1-\mu_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \cdots \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_n-\mu_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)} \\ &= \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_1)^2\right)}{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2\right)} \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n ((x_i - \mu_1)^2 - (x_i - \mu_0)^2)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\mu_0 - \mu_1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (2x_i - \mu_1 - \mu_0)\right) \end{aligned}$$

The likelihood test is equivalent to

$$-(\mu_0 - \mu_1) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq k_1,$$

or for some  $k_\alpha$

$$\bar{x} \geq k_\alpha \text{ when } \mu_0 < \mu_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \bar{x} \leq k_\alpha \text{ when } \mu_0 > \mu_1.$$

To determine  $k_\alpha$ , note that under the null hypothesis,  $\bar{X}$  is  $N(\mu_0, \sigma^2/n)$  and

$$Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$$

is a standard normal. Set  $z_\alpha$  so that  $P\{Z \geq z_\alpha\} = \alpha$ . Then, for  $\mu_0 < \mu_1$ ,

$$\bar{X} \geq \mu_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_\alpha = k_\alpha.$$

For  $\mu_1 < \mu_0$ , we have  $\bar{X} \leq -k_\alpha$ .

The power should

- increase as a function of  $|\mu_1 - \mu_0|$ ,
- decrease as a function of  $\sigma^2$ , and
- increase as a function of  $n$ .

In this situation, the type II error probability,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= P_{\mu_1}\{X \notin C\} = P_{\mu_1}\{\bar{X} < \mu_0 + \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{n}} z_\alpha\} \\ &= P_{\mu_1}\left\{\frac{\bar{X} - \mu_1}{\sigma_0/\sqrt{n}} < z_\alpha - \frac{|\mu_1 - \mu_0|}{\sigma_0/\sqrt{n}}\right\} = \Phi\left(z_\alpha - \frac{|\mu_1 - \mu_0|}{\sigma_0/\sqrt{n}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

For  $\mu_0 = 10$  and  $\mu_1 = 5$  and  $\sigma = 3$ . Consider the 16 observations and choose a level  $\alpha = 0.05$  test, then

```
> x
[1] 8.887753 2.353184 12.123175 10.020566 9.247956 3.711350
[7] 13.907150 9.079790 8.826202 6.288765 12.120783 10.994228
[13] 12.522522 4.529421 8.191806 10.195854
> mean(x)
[1] 8.937532
```

Then

$$Z = \frac{8.937 - 10}{3/\sqrt{16}} = -1.417.$$

```
> qnorm(0.05)
[1] -1.644854
```

$k_\alpha = -1.645 > -1.417$  and we fail to reject the null hypothesis.

To compute the probability of a type II error, note that for  $\alpha = 0.05$ ,

$$z_\alpha - \frac{|\mu_1 - \mu_0|}{\sigma_0/\sqrt{n}} = 1.645 - \frac{5}{3/\sqrt{16}} = -5.022$$

```
>> pnorm(-5.022)
[1] 2.556809e-07
```

This is called the *z-test*. If  $n$  is sufficiently large, the even if the data are not normally distributed,  $\bar{X}$  is well approximated by a normal distribution and, as long as the variance  $\sigma^2$  is known, the *z-test* is used in this case.

**Example 3** (Bernoulli trials). Here  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a sequence of Bernoulli trials with unknown success probability  $\theta$ , the likelihood

$$\mathbf{L}(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = (1 - \theta)^n \left( \frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \right)^{x_1 + \dots + x_n}.$$

For the test

$$H_0 : \theta = \theta_0 \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$$

the likelihood ratio

$$\frac{\mathbf{L}(\theta_1|\mathbf{x})}{\mathbf{L}(\theta_0|\mathbf{x})} = \left( \frac{1 - \theta_1}{1 - \theta_0} \right)^n \left( \left( \frac{\theta_1}{1 - \theta_1} \right) / \left( \frac{\theta_0}{1 - \theta_0} \right) \right)^{x_1 + \dots + x_n}$$

Consequently, the test is to reject  $H_0$  whenever

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq k_\alpha \quad \text{when } \theta_0 < \theta_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq k_\alpha \quad \text{when } \theta_0 > \theta_1.$$

Note that under  $H_0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  has a *Bin*( $n, \theta$ ) distribution. Thus, in the case  $\theta_0 < \theta_1$ , we choose  $k_\alpha$  so that

$$\sum_{k=k_\alpha}^n \binom{n}{k} \theta_0^k (1 - \theta_0)^{n-k} \leq \alpha. \tag{6}$$

In general, we cannot choose  $k_\alpha$  to obtain the sum  $\alpha$ . Thus, we take the minimum value of  $k_\alpha$  to achieve the inequality in (6).

If  $n\theta_0$  is sufficiently large, then, by the central limit theorem,  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  has a normal distribution. If we standardize

$$Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \theta_0}{\sqrt{\theta_0(1 - \theta_0)/n}}$$

is approximately a standard normal random variable and we perform the *z-test* as in the previous exercise.

For example, if we take  $\theta_0 = 1/2$  and  $\theta_1 > 1/2$  and  $\alpha = 0.05$ , then with 60 heads in 100 coin tosses

$$Z = \frac{0.60 - 0.50}{0.05} = 2.$$

```
> qnorm(0.95)
[1] 1.644854
```

Thus,  $k_{0.05} = 1.645 < 2$  and we reject the null hypothesis.