## lec9

September 12, 2016

## Exam 1 review.

Recall that if a continuous function $f$ is increasing on an interval $[a, b]$, then we have

$$
\operatorname{LEFT}(n) \leq \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \leq R I G H T(n)
$$

and if the function is decreasing then

$$
\operatorname{RIGHT}(n) \leq \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \leq \operatorname{LEFT}(n)
$$

If the function is concave up, then

$$
M I D(n) \leq \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \leq T R A P(n)
$$

and if concave down, then

$$
T R A P(n) \leq \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \leq M I D(n)
$$

These statements are always true regardless of whether the function is positive or negative. The examples below illustrate this.

In [2]: \#\# You can ignore this.
using lec8, PyPlot
Ex. Use $\operatorname{LEFT}(\mathrm{n})$ to approximate $-\int_{1}^{2} x^{2} d x=-\frac{7}{3}$.
In [5]: \#\# The true answer $-7 / 3$

Out [5]: -2.3333333333333335
In [4]: left ( $\left.\mathrm{x}->-\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2, \mathrm{a}=1, \mathrm{~b}=2, \mathrm{n}=4\right)$


Out [4]: -1.96875
Observe that the LEFT(4) approximation gives -1.97, whereas the true answer is about -2.33 . Since the approximation is less negative than the true answer, we would still say that LEFT(4) is an overestimate.

You might have been tempted to call LEFT(4) an underestimate in this case because the total area of the rectangles (about 1.97, i.e., the absolute value of the LEFT(4) approximation) is indeed smaller than the area enclosed by the curve, the x axis, and the vertical lines $x=1$ and $x=2$. But for the actual integrals (which are negatives of the areas in this case) LEFT(4) is an overestimate.

Similarly, if we apply $\operatorname{TRAP}(\mathrm{n})$ or $\operatorname{MID}(\mathrm{n})$ to a function that can be negative, the above rules about overestimating and underestimating still apply.

Ex. Use $\operatorname{TRAP}(\mathrm{n})$ to approximate $-\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} d x=-\frac{1}{3}$.
In [6]: $\operatorname{trap}\left(\mathrm{x}->-\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2, \mathrm{a}=0, \mathrm{~b}=1, \mathrm{n}=2\right)$

$\operatorname{TRAP}(2)=0.5 *[f(0.0)+f(0.5)] * 0.5+0.5 *[f(0.5)+f(1.0)] * 0.5$
Out [6]: -0. 375
In [8]: \#\# True value.
$-1 / 3$
Out [8]: -0.3333333333333333
Again, because the function is concave down, we know $\operatorname{TRAP}(2)$ should give an underestimate. It may not look like it from the picture, but because the function is negative, $\operatorname{TRAP}(2)$ is indeed more negative than the true value.
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