

**Integrable equations as compatibility conditions.**

*The Lax formalism for the KdV equation.* Consider the differential operators

$$L = -6\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - u, \quad B = -4\frac{d^3}{dx^3} - u\frac{d}{dx} - \frac{1}{2}u_x.$$

$L$  is symmetric, and  $B$  is skew-symmetric. Then it was noted by Peter Lax in 1968 that the operator equation

$$\frac{dL}{dt} + [L, B] = 0$$

is equivalent to the KdV equation  $u_t + uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0$  in the sense that both sides of the equation turn out to be operators of multiplication by a function. Here  $[L, B] = LB - BL$  is the operator commutator. This is a direct calculation. An operator equation of this form is today called a *Lax equation*.

As we will soon see in a concrete example, this form of the KdV equation *immediately* shows (among other things) that the eigenvalues of  $L$  are independent of  $t$ . Another way to derive this form of the KdV equation is as the *compatibility condition* of two linear problems where now we *assume* that  $\lambda$  is a fixed parameter:

$$L\phi = \lambda\phi, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_t = B\phi.$$

Indeed, from these two we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(L\phi) = \frac{dL}{dt}\phi + L\phi_t = \frac{dL}{dt}\phi + LB\phi,$$

and also

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(L\phi) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\lambda\phi) = \lambda\phi_t = \lambda B\phi = B(\lambda\phi) = BL\phi.$$

Therefore as  $\phi$  is general, we obtain

$$\frac{dL}{dt} + LB = BL.$$

The key importance of Lax's observation is that *any* equation that can be cast into such a framework for other operators  $L$  and  $B$  has automatically many of the features of the KdV equation, including an infinite number of local conservation laws.

*Rewriting KdV as a zero-curvature condition.* Going further with the point of view of looking at integrable nonlinear problems as the compatibility conditions of two linear problems (frequently involving an arbitrary "spectral" parameter  $\lambda$ ), we can go from linear operators to matrices at the cost of introducing some powers of  $\lambda$ . To do this, note that as the eigenvalue equation is second order, we may easily write it in first-order form by introducing

$$\phi_1 = \phi, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_2 = \phi_x,$$

So  $L\phi = \lambda\phi$  can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -(u + \lambda)/6 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{U} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{1t} &= \phi_t \\ &= -4\phi_{xxx} - u\phi_x - \frac{1}{2}u_x\phi \\ &= -4(-(u + \lambda)\phi/6)_x - u\phi_x - \frac{1}{2}u_x\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{6}u_x\phi + \left(\frac{2}{3}\lambda - \frac{1}{3}u\right)\phi_x \\ &= \frac{1}{6}u_x\phi_1 + \left(\frac{2}{3}\lambda - \frac{1}{3}u\right)\phi_2. \end{aligned}$$

And therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{2t} &= \phi_{1tx} \\
&= \frac{1}{6}u_x\phi_{1x} + \frac{1}{6}u_{xx}\phi_1 + \left(\frac{2}{3}\lambda - \frac{1}{3}u\right)\phi_{2x} - \frac{1}{3}u_x\phi_2 \\
&= \frac{1}{6}u_x\phi_2 + \frac{1}{6}u_{xx}\phi_1 - \left(\frac{2}{3}\lambda - \frac{1}{3}u\right)\left(\frac{1}{6}\lambda + \frac{1}{6}u\right)\phi_1 - \frac{1}{3}u_x\phi_2 \\
&= \left(-\frac{1}{9}\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{18}\lambda u + \frac{1}{18}u^2 + \frac{1}{6}u_{xx}\right)\phi_1 - \frac{1}{6}u_x\phi_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Or, writing as a first-order system,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{9}\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{18}\lambda u + \frac{1}{18}u^2 + \frac{1}{6}u_{xx} & \frac{2}{3}\lambda - \frac{1}{3}u \\ -\frac{1}{6}u_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{V} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The compatibility condition now takes the form of a matrix equation, the *zero-curvature condition*:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial x} + [\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}] = \mathbf{0}.$$

If we separate out the coefficients of powers of  $\lambda$  in this equation then the coefficients of  $\lambda^3$ ,  $\lambda^2$ , and  $\lambda$  all vanish identically. The constant term is the matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{6}(u_t + uu_x + u_{xxx}) & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$

The name comes from the following geometrical interpretation. The equations  $(\partial_x - \mathbf{U})\phi = 0$  and  $(\partial_t - \mathbf{V})\phi = 0$  define a *connection* on a two-dimensional *vector bundle* over the  $(x, t)$ -plane. The first equation describes how to “parallel-translate” a vector  $\phi$  in the  $x$ -direction, and the second equation describes how to “parallel-translate” a vector  $\phi$  in the  $t$ -direction. The matrices  $\mathbf{U}$  and  $\mathbf{V}$  are the *connection coefficients*. A connection is said to have *zero curvature* if parallel translation of a vector  $\phi$  along a path from a point  $(x_0, t_0)$  to another point  $(x_1, t_1)$  gives the same result independent of path connecting the points. This is the same thing as asserting the existence of a full two-dimensional basis of simultaneous solutions of the equations  $(\partial_x - \mathbf{U})\phi = 0$  and  $(\partial_t - \mathbf{V})\phi = 0$ , which is the above zero-curvature condition that must be satisfied by the connection coefficients. Therefore, every solution of the KdV equation defines a connection with zero curvature.

*Generalization.* The two linear equations for  $\phi$  making up a zero-curvature connection are said to form a *Lax pair*. This idea is one of the most central ones in the theory of integrable systems: each integrable nonlinear problem can be represented as the compatibility condition between two linear equations of a Lax pair. Here are some other examples.

A common choice for the matrix  $\mathbf{U}$  is the “AKNS” (Ablowitz, Kaup, Newell, and Segur) spectral problem:

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} i\lambda & q \\ r & -i\lambda \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \mathbf{U}_1 + \mathbf{U}_0.$$

Here  $q$  and  $r$  are some functions of  $x$  and  $t$ . We will derive nonlinear equations for them by seeking matrices  $\mathbf{V}$  giving rise to a zero-curvature connection. For example, suppose we seek  $\mathbf{V}$  as a quadratic polynomial in  $\lambda$ :

$$\mathbf{V} = \lambda^2 \mathbf{V}_2 + \lambda \mathbf{V}_1 + \mathbf{V}_0.$$

Then, the zero-curvature condition splits into several equations corresponding to powers of  $\lambda$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{coefficient of } \lambda^3: & \quad [\mathbf{U}_1, \mathbf{V}_2] = \mathbf{0}, \\
\text{coefficient of } \lambda^2: & \quad -\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}_2}{\partial x} + [\mathbf{U}_1, \mathbf{V}_1] + [\mathbf{U}_0, \mathbf{V}_2] = \mathbf{0}, \\
\text{coefficient of } \lambda: & \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}_1}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}_1}{\partial x} + [\mathbf{U}_1, \mathbf{V}_0] + [\mathbf{U}_0, \mathbf{V}_1] = \mathbf{0}, \\
\text{constant term:} & \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}_0}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}_0}{\partial x} + [\mathbf{U}_0, \mathbf{V}_0] = \mathbf{0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathbf{U}_1 = i\sigma_3$ , where the Pauli matrix  $\sigma_3$  is defined by

$$\sigma_3 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We now consider these four equations one at a time. The  $\lambda^3$  equation can be solved by choosing  $\mathbf{V}_2 = \mathbf{U}_1 = i\sigma_3$  (more generally an arbitrary diagonal matrix would do).

With this choice the  $\lambda^2$  equation becomes

$$[i\sigma_3, \mathbf{V}_1 - \mathbf{U}_0] = 0.$$

Note that for a general matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , we have  $[i\sigma_3, \mathbf{A}] = 2i\sigma_3\mathbf{A}^{OD}$ , where  $\mathbf{A}^{OD}$  is the off-diagonal part of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Therefore since  $\sigma_3$  is invertible, this says that  $\mathbf{V}_1$  must differ from  $\mathbf{U}_0$  by a diagonal matrix. But let's solve this by also choosing that  $\mathbf{V}_1 = \mathbf{U}_0$ .

The  $\lambda$  equation then becomes

$$-\frac{\partial\mathbf{U}_0}{\partial x} + [i\sigma_3, \mathbf{V}_0] = \mathbf{0}.$$

This equation determines the off-diagonal part of  $\mathbf{V}_0$ :

$$\mathbf{V}_0^{OD} = -\frac{i}{2}\sigma_3\frac{\partial\mathbf{U}_0}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -iq_x/2 \\ ir_x/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, we consider the constant term equation which we split into diagonal and off-diagonal parts: the diagonal part is

$$-\frac{\partial\mathbf{V}_0^D}{\partial x} + [\mathbf{U}_0, \mathbf{V}_0^{OD}] = \mathbf{0}$$

and the off-diagonal part is

$$\frac{\partial\mathbf{U}_0}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial\mathbf{V}_0^{OD}}{\partial x} + [\mathbf{U}_0, \mathbf{V}_0^D] = \mathbf{0}.$$

Inserting our formula for  $\mathbf{V}_0^{OD}$  into the diagonal part gives

$$\frac{\partial\mathbf{V}_0^D}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} iqr_x/2 + irq_x/2 & 0 \\ 0 & -irq_x/2 - iqr_x/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

so we may solve this by choosing

$$\mathbf{V}_0^D = \begin{bmatrix} iqr/2 & 0 \\ 0 & -iqr/2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrices  $\mathbf{V}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{V}_1$ , and  $\mathbf{V}_0$  have thus been determined and the only part of the zero-curvature condition that remains is the off-diagonal part of the constant term equation.

The off-diagonal part of the constant term equation reads as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & q_t + iq_{xx}/2 - iq^2r \\ r_t - ir_{xx}/2 + ir^2q & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$

In other words, the connection defined by the coefficient matrices  $\lambda\mathbf{U}_1 + \mathbf{U}_0$  and  $\lambda^2\mathbf{V}_2 + \lambda\mathbf{V}_1 + \mathbf{V}_0$  will have zero curvature if and only if the functions  $q$  and  $r$  satisfy the nonlinear equations

$$iq_t - \frac{1}{2}q_{xx} + q^2r = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad -ir_t - \frac{1}{2}r_{xx} + r^2q = 0.$$

Generally this is a coupled system. However, it is consistent with the relation  $r = \pm q^*$ , which gives

$$iq_t - \frac{1}{2}q_{xx} \pm |q|^2q = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad -iq_t^* - \frac{1}{2}q_{xx}^* \pm |q|^2q^*,$$

so the second equation is just the complex conjugate of the first. Therefore we have found the Lax pair representation for the focusing and defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations.

As a second example using the same AKNS spectral problem, seek  $\mathbf{V}$  in the form:

$$\mathbf{V} = \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{V}_{-1}.$$

The zero-curvature equation then splits into three parts:

$$\text{coefficient of } \lambda: \quad \frac{\partial\mathbf{U}_1}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0},$$

which is trivially satisfied since  $\mathbf{U}_1$  is constant,

$$\text{constant terms: } \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}_0}{\partial t} + [\mathbf{U}_1, \mathbf{V}_{-1}] = \mathbf{0},$$

and

$$\text{coefficient of } \lambda^{-1}: \quad -\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}_{-1}}{\partial x} + [\mathbf{U}_0, \mathbf{V}_{-1}] = \mathbf{0}.$$

The constant terms determine the off-diagonal part of  $\mathbf{V}_{-1}$ :

$$2i\sigma_3 \mathbf{V}_{-1}^{OD} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}_0}{\partial t}, \quad \text{so} \quad \mathbf{V}_{-1}^{OD} = \frac{i}{2}\sigma_3 \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}_0}{\partial t} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & iq_t/2 \\ -ir_t/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The diagonal part of the  $\lambda^{-1}$  equation is

$$-\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}_{-1}^D}{\partial x} + \begin{bmatrix} -i(qr)_t/2 & 0 \\ 0 & i(qr)_t \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0},$$

which says in particular that  $\mathbf{V}_{-1}^D = \alpha\sigma_3 + c\mathbb{1}$ , where  $c$  has to be a constant but  $\alpha$  is a function of  $x$  and  $t$  related to  $q$  and  $r$  by

$$\alpha_x + \frac{1}{2}i(qr)_t = 0.$$

The off-diagonal part of the  $\lambda^{-1}$  equation is

$$-\begin{bmatrix} 0 & iq_{xt}/2 \\ -ir_{xt}/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + [\mathbf{U}_0, \mathbf{V}_{-1}^D] = \mathbf{0}.$$

This tells us that

$$\frac{1}{2}iq_{xt} - 2\alpha q = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{1}{2}ir_{xt} + 2\alpha r = 0.$$

So the zero curvature condition amounts to three equations on three unknowns. A special case is obtained by setting

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{4}i \cos(u), \quad \text{and} \quad q = -r = \frac{1}{2}u_x,$$

upon which we find that either  $u_x = 0$  or

$$u_{xt} = \sin(u).$$

This is a form of the sine-Gordon equation. Indeed, if we introduce new coordinates by  $\xi = x + t$  and  $\tau = x - t$ , then

$$u_{xt} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \right) u = u_{\xi\xi} - u_{\tau\tau}.$$