

## Lecture 11

①

### On Entanglement for Bipartite Systems

Consider two quantum systems represented by finite dimensional (non-zero) complex Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$ . The composite system  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$  is often referred to as a bipartite system.

A state  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{A} = B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$  is said to be a product state if there are states  $\omega_1$  on  $\mathcal{A}_1 = B(\mathcal{H}_1)$  and  $\omega_2$  on  $\mathcal{A}_2 = B(\mathcal{H}_2)$  for which

$$\omega(A \otimes B) = \omega_1(A) \cdot \omega_2(B) \quad \text{for all } A \in B(\mathcal{H}_1) \text{ and } B \in B(\mathcal{H}_2).$$

Ex In the finite dimensional setting considered above, let  $\rho_i \in B(\mathcal{H}_i)$  for  $i=1,2$  be density matrices.

One easily checks that  $\rho = \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$  is a density matrix and the corresponding state:

$$\omega_\rho(A) = \text{Tr}[\rho A] \quad \text{for all } A \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$$

is a product state.

Definition A state  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$  is said to be separable if and only if it is a convex combination of product states. Any state  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$  that is not separable is said to be entangled. (2)

It is easy to check that the set of separable states on  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$  is convex.

Lemma Let  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  be finite dimensional complex Hilbert spaces. If  $\omega$  is a separable state on  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ , then the corresponding density matrix can be written as a convex combination of pure product states.

Proof:

Let  $\omega$  be a separable state on  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ . Then

$$\omega(A \otimes B) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \omega_i^{(1)}(A) \cdot \omega_i^{(2)}(B) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1) \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$$

where  $t_i \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$ . (Here  $\omega_i^{(1)}$  and  $\omega_i^{(2)}$  are states on  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$  respectively.)

One easily checks that the corresponding density matrices satisfy

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \rho_i^{(1)} \otimes \rho_i^{(2)}.$$

An application of the spectral theorem shows that:

(3)

For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$\rho_i^{(1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(H_1)} \lambda_j^i |\phi_j^i\rangle\langle\phi_j^i| \quad \text{where } \lambda_j^i \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(H_1)} \lambda_j^i = 1$$

and

$$\rho_i^{(2)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\dim(H_2)} \mu_k^i |\psi_k^i\rangle\langle\psi_k^i| \quad \text{where } \mu_k^i \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\dim(H_2)} \mu_k^i = 1$$

Since these are all density matrices,

In this case,

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \rho_i^{(1)} \otimes \rho_i^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(H_1)} \lambda_j^i \sum_{k=1}^{\dim(H_2)} \mu_k^i (|\phi_j^i\rangle\langle\phi_j^i| \otimes (|\psi_k^i\rangle\langle\psi_k^i|))$$

Noting that

$$(|\phi_j^i\rangle\langle\phi_j^i|) \otimes (|\psi_k^i\rangle\langle\psi_k^i|) = |\phi_j^i \otimes \psi_k^i\rangle\langle\phi_j^i \otimes \psi_k^i|$$

and moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(H_1)} \lambda_j^i \sum_{k=1}^{\dim(H_2)} \mu_k^i &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(H_1)} \lambda_j^i \quad (1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \cdot (1) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

we are done.

As a consequence of this lemma we conclude:

(4)

The extreme points of the set of separable states are pure product states.

In particular, a pure state is separable if and only if it is a product state.

### Measuring Entanglement in Bipartite Systems (again infinite dimensions!)

The most common measure of entanglement in a bipartite system is the Entanglement entropy.

For a pure state, we define entanglement entropy as follows:

Let  $\omega$  be a pure state on  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ . In this case,

$$\omega(A) = \text{Tr}[\rho A] \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$$

and  $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$  for some unit vector  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ .

In this case, the entanglement entropy of  $\rho$ , which we denote by  $S_E(\rho)$ , is defined by

$$S_E(\rho) = S(\rho_1) = -\text{Tr}[\rho_1 \ln(\rho_1)]$$

where  $\rho_1 = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}[\rho]$  is the partial trace of  $\rho$ .

In words, the entanglement entropy of a pure state  $\rho$  is defined to be the von-Neumann entropy of the restriction of  $\rho$  to the 1<sup>st</sup> subsystem. (5)

It is natural to ask: Why restrict to the 1<sup>st</sup> subsystem? Why not use the 2<sup>nd</sup> subsystem?

A goal of this lecture is to answer this question.

We will show that:

$$(*) \quad S(\rho_1) = S(\rho_2) \quad \text{where } \rho_i = \text{Tr}_{\#i}(\rho)$$

and so it does not matter which restriction (i.e. partial trace) you use.

Note: Since the entanglement entropy of a pure state is defined in terms of the von-Neumann entropy it is clear that

$$0 \leq S_E(\rho) \leq \min(\ln(\dim(\mathcal{H}_1)), \ln(\dim(\mathcal{H}_2)))$$

from the previous lecture. Here, for the upper-bound, we have used (\*).

For a mixed state, the entanglement entropy is defined differently. (6)

Let  $\rho \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$  be the density matrix associated to a mixed state. The entanglement entropy of  $\rho$  is defined as:

$$S_E(\rho) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i S_E(\rho_i) \mid \rho = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \rho_i \text{ with } \lambda_i \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1 \right\}$$

i.e. the entanglement entropy is defined to be the infimum over all decompositions of  $\rho$  as a convex combination of pure states.

This measure of entanglement is also called the "entanglement of formation". (Note: There is an equivalent operational definition...)

For general density matrices  $\rho \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ , one has that

- $S_E(\rho) = 0 \iff \rho$  is separable
- $0 \leq S_E(\rho) \leq \min(\ln(\dim(\mathcal{H}_1)), \ln(\dim(\mathcal{H}_2)))$

Thus  $S_E$  is a measure of entanglement and any density matrix  $\rho$  for which  $S_E(\rho)$  is maximal is said to correspond to a maximally entangled state.

Ex Let  $H_1 = \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $H_2 = \mathbb{C}^2$ .

(7)

The maximally entangled states in this context are called Bell states. (The name comes from John Bell who wrote a famous paper on them in 1964.)

All Bell states can be described as follows:

Let  $\{e_1, e_2\} \subset H_1$  and  $\{f_1, f_2\} \subset H_2$  be orthonormal bases in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

Any state of the form

$$\frac{e_1 \otimes f_1 + e_2 \otimes f_2}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{is called a Bell state.}$$

The pair of vectors in a Bell state ( $e_1 \otimes f_1$  and  $e_2 \otimes f_2$  in the example above) are often called EPR pairs.

This label comes from a famous paper in 1935 by Einstein, Podolsky, and Rosen where they questioned some fundamentals of quantum mechanics

Let us check that a specific Bell state is maximally entangled.

(8)

Let  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$  denote an ONB of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

Take 
$$\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle + |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle)$$

Let  $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ .

Consider  $\rho_i = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{H}_2} [\rho]$  and calculate:

$$\langle i, \rho_i j \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^2 \langle i \otimes k, \rho \rangle \langle \psi | j \otimes k \rangle$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 & i = j \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho_i = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}$$

$\Rightarrow \rho_i$  is maximally mixing

$$\Rightarrow S(\rho_i) = \ln(2) = \min(\ln(2), \ln(2)) \quad \checkmark$$

We now prove that: If  $\rho \in B(H_1 \otimes H_2)$  is the density matrix associated to a pure state, then

$$S(\rho_1) = S(\rho_2)$$

where  $\rho_1 = \text{Tr}_{H_2}[\rho]$ ,  $\rho_2 = \text{Tr}_{H_1}[\rho]$ , and  $S(\rho)$  is the von-Neumann entropy.

---

First, an important result from linear algebra.

Theorem: (Schmidt Decomposition)

Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be finite dimensional (non-zero) complex Hilbert spaces. Let  $\psi \in H_1 \otimes H_2$  with  $\|\psi\|=1$ .

Then, there are orthonormal bases  $\{e_j\}_{j \geq 1}$  and  $\{f_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively and a unique sequence of numbers  $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$  with  $\sum_{j \geq 1} s_j^2 = 1$  for which

\* 
$$\psi = \sum_{j \geq 1} s_j e_j \otimes f_j$$

In particular,  $\psi$  is a product vector on  $H_1 \otimes H_2$  if and only if  $s_1 \neq 0$  and  $s_j = 0$  for all  $j \geq 2$ .

## Proof of Schmidt Decomposition

(10)

Note that if (\*) holds for ONBs  $\{e_j\}$  and  $\{f_k\}$ , then

$$1 = \|u\|^2 = \sum_{j \geq 1} s_j^2 \quad \text{since } \{e_j \otimes f_k\} \text{ is an ONB of } H_1 \otimes H_2.$$

Now, let  $\{\tilde{e}_j\}_{j=1}^m$  and  $\{\tilde{f}_k\}_{k=1}^n$  be ONBs of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively. Since  $\psi \in H_1 \otimes H_2$ , it is clear that

$$\psi = \sum_{j,k \geq 1} \psi_{jk} \tilde{e}_j \otimes \tilde{f}_k \quad \text{as } \{\tilde{e}_j \otimes \tilde{f}_k\} \text{ is an ONB of } H_1 \otimes H_2.$$

Define by  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  the matrix with entries  $a_{jk} = \psi_{jk}$ .

The matrix  $A$  has a singular value decomposition:

$$A = U D V^* \quad \text{where } U \in M_m \text{ and } V \in M_n \text{ are unitary}$$

and  $D \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  is diagonal with non-negative diagonal entries corresponding to the singular values of  $A$ . These singular values are uniquely determined by  $A$  which is uniquely determined by  $\psi$  and the basis  $\{\tilde{e}_j \otimes \tilde{f}_k\}$ . (They are, in fact, independent of the initial choice of basis...)

Recall that

11

$$\psi_{jk} = A_{jk} = (UDV^*)_{jk}$$

$$= \sum_l U_{jl} (DV^*)_{lk}$$

$$= \sum_{l, l'} U_{jl} \delta_{ll'} V_{l'k}^* \quad \leftarrow \text{Dis diagonal}$$

$$= \sum_l \sigma_l(A) U_{jl} V_{lk}^*$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi = \sum_{j, k \geq 1} \psi_{jk} \tilde{e}_j \otimes \tilde{f}_k = \sum_{j, k \geq 1} \left( \sum_l \sigma_l(A) U_{jl} V_{lk}^* \right) \tilde{e}_j \otimes \tilde{f}_k$$

$$= \sum_l \sigma_l(A) e_l \otimes f_l$$

where  $e_l = \sum_{j \geq 1} U_{jl} \tilde{e}_j$  and  $f_l = \sum_{k \geq 1} V_{lk}^* \tilde{f}_k$

As  $U$  and  $V$  were unitary, one checks that  $\{e_l\}$  and  $\{f_l\}$  are both orthonormal sets in  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  respectively.

(They may be extended to a full ONS if necessary.)

Miscellaneous Reprint.

Now, let  $\rho \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$  be a density matrix associated to a pure state. Since  $\rho$  is pure,  $\exists \psi \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$  with  $\|\psi\| = 1$  and  $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ . (12)

Let  $\{e_j\}$  and  $\{f_k\}$  denote the ONBs of  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  whose existence is guaranteed by the Schmidt decomposition of  $\psi$ , i.e. write

$$\psi = \sum_{j \geq 1} s_j e_j \otimes f_j$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = \sum_{j, k \geq 1} s_j s_k |e_j \otimes f_j\rangle\langle e_k \otimes f_k|$$

use that  $s_k \geq 0$

Clearly  $\rho_1 = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}[\rho] \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ . Calculate the matrix entries of  $\rho_1$  in the basis  $e = \{e_j\}$ .

$$\langle e_i, \rho_1 e_j \rangle = \sum_{k \geq 1} \langle e_i \otimes f_k, \rho e_j \otimes f_k \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{l, l' \geq 1} s_l s_{l'} \langle e_i \otimes f_k, e_l \otimes f_l \rangle \langle e_l \otimes f_l, e_j \otimes f_k \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{l, l' \geq 1} s_l s_{l'} \delta_{i, l} \delta_{k, l} \delta_{l', j} \delta_{l', k}$$

$$= \sum_{k \geq 1} s_i \delta_{ki} s_j \delta_{jk} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \neq i \\ s_i^2 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

Thus the partial trace  $\rho_1$  is diagonal in this basis

ie  $\rho_1 = \sum_i s_i^2 |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|$  and thus

$$\Rightarrow S(\rho_1) = - \sum_i s_i^2 \ln(s_i^2)$$

A similar calculation shows that

$$S(\rho_2) = - \sum_i s_i^2 \ln(s_i^2)$$

This proves the claim.

(13)