

Lecture 22

①

Infinite systems and the GNS Representation

The GNS Construction

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra.

Ex Often $\mathcal{A} = C_0(X)$ where (X, d) is a regular metric space.

Recall:

A representation of \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert Space \mathcal{H} is a linear map $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ for which

$$i) \pi(1) = 1_{\mathcal{H}}$$

$$ii) \pi(A^*) = \pi(A)^* \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A}$$

$$iii) \pi(AB) = \pi(A)\pi(B) \quad \text{for all } A, B \in \mathcal{A}.$$

A vector $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$ is said to be cyclic for a representation π

$$\text{if } \mathcal{D}_{\Omega} = \{ \pi(A)\Omega : A \in \mathcal{A} \} \subset \mathcal{H}$$

is a dense subspace of \mathcal{H} . A representation π is said to be cyclic if there is a cyclic vector for it.

Theorem (GNS Construction)

(9)

Let ω be a state on a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} .

Then, there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_ω , a representation π_ω of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{H}_ω , and a vector $\Omega_\omega \in \mathcal{H}_\omega$ that is cyclic for π_ω and also satisfies:

$$\omega(A) = \langle \Omega_\omega, \pi_\omega(A)\Omega_\omega \rangle \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Moreover, up to unitary equivalence, the triple $(\mathcal{H}_\omega, \pi_\omega, \Omega_\omega)$ is uniquely determined by ω . In other words, if there are two such cyclic representations $(\mathcal{H}_1, \pi_1, \Omega_1)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_2, \pi_2, \Omega_2)$ corresponding to the same state ω on \mathcal{A} , then there is a unitary map $U: \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ for which

$$\Omega_2 = U\Omega_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2(A) = U\pi_1(A)U^* \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

In fact, the unitary with these properties is unique.

This theorem illustrates a deep connection between representations and states on a C^* -algebra.

The name comes from two groups who proved this independently:

Israel Gelfand and Mark Naimark

and

Irving Segal

\Rightarrow hence GNS.

Recall:

(3)

We proved some important facts about states on a C^* -algebra.

i) (Cauchy-Schwarz)

If ω is a state on a C^* algebra, then

$$|\omega(A^*B)|^2 \leq \omega(A^*A) \cdot \omega(B^*B) \quad \text{for all } A, B \in \mathcal{A}$$

$$\text{and } \omega(A^*B) = \overline{\omega(B^*A)} \quad \text{for all } A, B \in \mathcal{A}$$

ii) A consequence of the above for non-trivial unital C^* -algebras:

$$|\omega(A^*BA)| \leq \omega(A^*A) \cdot \|B\| \quad \text{for all } A, B \in \mathcal{A}$$

Proof:

We first construct the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_ω .

Let us introduce the notation $\psi_A = A$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Consider the following sesquilinear form:

$$\langle \psi_A, \psi_B \rangle := \omega(A^*B) \quad \text{for all } A, B \in \mathcal{A}$$

This mapping is 2nd component linear and 1st component conjugate linear.

By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\langle \psi_A, \psi_B \rangle = \omega(A^*B) = \overline{\omega(B^*A)} = \langle \psi_B, \psi_A \rangle$$

and so this mapping is conjugate symmetric.

Since a state is a positive linear functional,

(4)

it is clear that

$$\langle \varphi_A, \varphi_A \rangle = \omega(A^*A) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Thus this $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is almost an inner product.

It is possible, however, that there are $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with

$$\omega(A^*A) = 0 \quad \text{but } A \neq 0.$$

To construct the Hilbert space of interest, we must "get rid" of these elements.

Let us denote by

$$I = \{ A \in \mathcal{A} : \omega(A^*A) = 0 \}.$$

Claim: $I \subset \mathcal{A}$ is a subspace and moreover, if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in I$, then $AB \in I$.

In words, the 2nd part of this claim establishes that I is a left ideal in \mathcal{A} .

Let us 1st check that $I \subset A$ is a subspace.

(5)

Let $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. Take $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and set $\hat{A} = \alpha A$.

$$\text{clearly } \omega(\hat{A}^* \hat{A}) = \omega(|\alpha|^2 A^* A) = |\alpha|^2 \omega(A^* A) = 0.$$

Let $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$ and take $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. set $\hat{A} = (\alpha A + \beta B)$

Pro

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\hat{A}^* \hat{A}) &= \omega((\bar{\alpha} A^* + \bar{\beta} B^*)(\alpha A + \beta B)) \\ &= |\alpha|^2 \omega(A^* A) + \bar{\alpha} \beta \omega(A^* B) + \bar{\beta} \alpha \omega(B^* A) + |\beta|^2 \omega(B^* B) \\ &= \bar{\alpha} \beta \omega(A^* B) + \bar{\beta} \alpha \omega(B^* A) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{by Cauchy-Schwarz.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $I \subset A$ is a subspace.

Let $A \in A$ and $B \in I$. Pro

$$0 \leq \omega((AB)^* (AB)) = \omega(B^* A^* A B) \leq \omega(B^* B) \cdot \|A\|^2$$

\uparrow_0

where we used the consequence of Cauchy-Schwarz.

Thus I is a left-ideal as claimed.

We use \mathcal{I} to define equivalence classes.

(6)

For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, set

$$[A] = \{A + A' : A' \in \mathcal{I}\} \subset \mathcal{A}.$$

These are the equivalence classes in \mathcal{A} defined by \mathcal{I} .

The quotient space

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{A} / \mathcal{I} = \{[A] : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

is also a complex vector space. (Here we use that $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is a subspace.) In fact, arithmetic is defined as follows:

$$\alpha [A] = [\alpha A] \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

$$[A] + [B] := [A + B] \quad \text{for any } A, B \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We now claim that the previous sesquilinear form is an inner product on this vector space.

Define: $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by setting

$$\langle [A], [B] \rangle = \omega(\hat{A}^* \hat{B})$$

where $[A], [B] \in \mathcal{H}_0$ and $\hat{A} \in [A]$ and $\hat{B} \in [B]$.

We must check that this is well defined.

(7)

Note that $\hat{A} \in [A]$ and $\hat{B} \in [B]$ means that

there are $A', B' \in I$ with $\hat{A} = A + A'$ and $\hat{B} = B + B'$

The quantity:

$$\begin{aligned} w((\hat{A})^* \hat{B}) &= w((A + A')^* (B + B')) \\ &= w(A^* B) + w(A^* B') + w(A'^* B) + w(A'^* B') \\ &= w(A^* B) + w(A'^* B) \end{aligned}$$

Since I is a left ideal \rightarrow $w(A'^* B') = 0$

\uparrow by Cauchy-Schwarz \rightarrow $w(A'^* B) = 0$

and thus

$$w((\hat{A})^* \hat{B}) = w(A^* B)$$

independent of the chosen representative of the equivalence class. Hence

$$\langle [A], [B] \rangle = w(A^* B) \text{ is well-defined.}$$

By the previous arguments, this quantity is "almost" an inner product. Note that $0 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $[0] = I$ by construction. Thus

$$\langle [0], [0] \rangle = w(0^* 0) = 0.$$

If $[A] \neq [0]$, then $\exists \hat{A} \in [A]$ with

$\hat{A} \notin I$. In this case,

$$\langle [A], [A] \rangle = \omega(\hat{A}^* \hat{A}) > 0.$$

This proves that $(H_0, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a Pre-Hilbert space.

Denote by H_ω the completion of H_0 w.r.t. the norm induced by this inner product. This is the desired Hilbert space.

We now define the representation.

We will define a map $\pi_\omega : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H_\omega)$.

Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Define $\pi_\omega(A)$ on H_0 by setting:

$$\pi_\omega(A)[B] = [AB] \quad \text{for any } [B] \in H_0.$$

This map is linear as:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\omega(A)(\alpha[B] + \beta[C]) &= \pi_\omega(A)([\alpha B + \beta C]) \\ &= [A(\alpha B + \beta C)] \\ &= \alpha[AB] + \beta[AC] \\ &= \alpha \pi_\omega(A)[B] + \beta \pi_\omega(A)[C]. \end{aligned}$$

It is also bounded:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_\omega(A)[B]\|^2 &= \|[AB]\|^2 = \langle [AB], [AB] \rangle \\ &= \omega((AB)^*(AB)) \\ &= \omega(B^* A^* A B) \leq \omega(B^* B) \cdot \|A^* A\| \\ &= \|[B]\|^2 \cdot \|A\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

(8)

Thus $\pi_w(A)$ defines a bounded linear map on H_0

By the Bounded linear transformation theorem, $\pi_w(A)$ uniquely extends to a map on H_w with

$$\|\pi_w(A)\| \leq \|A\| \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We claim that this linear map is the desired representation.

check:

i) $\pi_w(1) = 1$.

Note that

$$\pi_w(1)[B] = [1B] = [B] \quad \text{for all } [B] \in H_0.$$

Thus $\pi_w(1)$ acts like the identity on H_0 . ✓

ii) $\pi_w(A^*) = \pi_w(A)^*$. Fix $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Note that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \pi_w(A^*)[B], [C] \rangle &= \langle [A^*B], [C] \rangle \\
&= w((A^*B)^*C) \\
&= w(B^*AC) \\
&= \langle [B], [AC] \rangle \\
&= \langle [B], \pi_w(A)[C] \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

for all $[B], [C] \in H_0$. This suffices to prove it. (check!)

$$\text{iii) } \pi_w(AB) = \pi_w(A)\pi_w(B) \quad \text{for all } A, B \in \mathcal{A}.$$

(10)

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_w(AB)[c] &= \cancel{\text{not}} [ABC] = \pi_w(A)[BC] \\ &= \pi_w(A)\pi_w(B)[c]. \end{aligned}$$

Since H_0 is dense in H_w , iii) is clear.

Let us now construct Ω_w .

Set

$$\Omega_w = [1] \in H_0 \subset H_w.$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{D}_{\Omega_w} = \{ \pi_w(A)\Omega_w : A \in \mathcal{A} \} = \{ [A] : A \in \mathcal{A} \} = H_0$$

and this set is dense by construction of H_w .

Thus Ω_w is cyclic for π_w .

Note further that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega_w, \pi_w(A)\Omega_w \rangle &= \langle [1], [A] \rangle \\ &= w(1^*A) \\ &= w(A). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the GNS construction.

On Uniqueness

(11)

Suppose $(H_1, \pi_1, \mathcal{D}_1)$ and $(H_2, \pi_2, \mathcal{D}_2)$ are two GNS triples associated to a state ω on \mathcal{A} .

By assumption, this means that:

$$\langle \mathcal{D}_1, \pi_1(A) \mathcal{D}_1 \rangle_1 = \omega(A) = \langle \mathcal{D}_2, \pi_2(A) \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle_2 \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Since $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset H_1$ is dense, let us define

$U: H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ by setting

$$U(\pi_1(A) \mathcal{D}_1) = \pi_2(A) \mathcal{D}_2 \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

In this case, U is a well-defined linear map on \mathcal{D}_1 .

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(\pi_1(A) \mathcal{D}_1)\|_2^2 &= \langle U(\pi_1(A) \mathcal{D}_1), U(\pi_1(A) \mathcal{D}_1) \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle \pi_2(A) \mathcal{D}_2, \pi_2(A) \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle \mathcal{D}_2, \pi_2(A)^* \pi_2(A) \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle \mathcal{D}_2, \pi_2(A^* A) \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle \mathcal{D}_1, \pi_1(A^* A) \mathcal{D}_1 \rangle_1 \\ &= \|\pi_1(A) \mathcal{D}_1\|_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus U is a bounded linear map on \mathcal{D}_U .

(12)

Let us also denote by U the bounded linear extension to all of \mathcal{H}_1 .

We claim that U is isometric:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U\pi_1(A)\mathcal{J}_1, U\pi_1(B)\mathcal{J}_1 \rangle_2 &= \langle \pi_2(A)\mathcal{J}_2, \pi_2(B)\mathcal{J}_2 \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle \mathcal{J}_2, \pi_2(A^*B)\mathcal{J}_2 \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle \mathcal{J}_1, \pi_1(A^*B)\mathcal{J}_1 \rangle_1 \\ &= \langle \pi_1(A)\mathcal{J}_1, \pi_1(B)\mathcal{J}_1 \rangle_1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.

This suffices to conclude that $U: \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ is an isometry.

In fact, since the dense set $\mathcal{D}_U \subset \text{Range}(U)$,

it follows that U is unitary.

The uniqueness statement for the unitary U is easily checked.

Corollary Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra, ω a state on \mathcal{A} , and α an automorphism of \mathcal{A} that satisfies

$\omega \circ \alpha = \omega$. Then $\exists!$ $U \in B(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$ which is unitary and

$$\Pi_\omega(\alpha(A)) = U \Pi_\omega(A) U^* \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We also have that $U\mathcal{J}_\omega = \mathcal{J}_\omega$.

Remark:

1) $\omega \circ \alpha = \omega$ is often referred to as " α leaves ω invariant".

2) $\Pi_\omega(\alpha(A)) = U \Pi_\omega(A) U^*$ is often referred to as " α is implementable in the GNS representation of ω ".

Proof:

Let $(H_\omega, \Pi_\omega, \mathcal{N}_\omega)$ be the GNS triple for ω .

Claim: $(H_\omega, \Pi_{\omega \circ \alpha}, \mathcal{N}_\omega)$ is the GNS triple for $\omega \circ \alpha$.

Note: $\tilde{\omega} = \omega \circ \alpha$ is a state on \mathcal{A} .

It is a linear functional and

$$\tilde{\omega}(A^*A) = \omega(\alpha(A^*A)) = \omega(\alpha(A)^* \alpha(A)) \geq 0 \quad \checkmark$$

Note: $\Pi_{\omega \circ \alpha} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H_\omega)$ is a representation:

i) $(\Pi_{\omega \circ \alpha})(1) = \Pi_\omega(\alpha(1)) = \Pi_\omega(1) = 1 \quad \checkmark$

ii) $(\Pi_{\omega \circ \alpha})(A^*) = \Pi_\omega(\alpha(A^*)) = \Pi_\omega(\alpha(A)^*) = \Pi_\omega(\alpha(A))^* = (\Pi_{\omega \circ \alpha})(A)^* \quad \checkmark$

iii) $(\Pi_{\omega \circ \alpha})(AB) = \Pi_\omega(\alpha(AB)) = \Pi_\omega(\alpha(A)\alpha(B)) = \Pi_\omega(\alpha(A))\Pi_\omega(\alpha(B)) = (\Pi_{\omega \circ \alpha})(A)(\Pi_{\omega \circ \alpha})(B) \quad \checkmark$

It is also clear (using that α is an automorphism) that

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{N}_\omega} = \{ (\Pi_{\omega \circ \alpha})(A) : A \in \mathcal{A} \} \subset H_\omega \quad \text{is a dense subspace.}$$

Finally note that

(14)

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega \circ \alpha)(A) &= \omega(\alpha(A)) = \langle \Omega_w, \pi_w(\alpha(A)) \Omega_w \rangle \\ &= \langle \Omega_w, (\pi_w \circ \alpha)(A) \Omega_w \rangle \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the assertion.

Since $\omega = \omega \circ \alpha$, the previous result shows that there is a unique unitary $U: H_w \rightarrow H_w$ for which

$$U \Omega_w = \Omega_w \quad \text{and} \quad (\pi_w \circ \alpha)(A) = U \pi_w(A) U^*$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. This is the claimed result.