

## MATH 215: CHAPTER 4 HOMEWORK SOLUTIONS

### 4.1 #2.

- (a) The set of all column vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  of length 1 is **not** a vector space, for many reasons. A vector space must contain the zero vector, but the zero vector does not have length 1. The scalar product of  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and a vector of length 1 has length  $|c|$ , which is not 1 unless  $c = \pm 1$ . Finally, the sum of two vectors of length 1 does not necessarily have length one, e.g.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the length of  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is  $\sqrt{2}$ .

- (b) The set of polynomials of degree at least 2 is **not** a vector space. For instance, once again this set does not contain the zero polynomial (as the zero polynomial has degree  $-\infty$ ). Alternatively, we can see that the sum of two polynomials of degree at least 2 does not necessarily have degree at least 2: e.g.  $(x^2 + 1) + (-x^2 + x) = x + 1$ , and  $x + 1$  has degree 1.
- (c) The sum of two vectors parallel to a given plane is again parallel to that plane. A scalar multiple of a vector parallel to a given plane is again parallel to it. The zero vector is considered parallel to any plane. So, the set of all line segments parallel to a given plane **is** a vector space.
- Here is a way to formalize this. Let  $\vec{n}$  be a vector which is normal to the given plane. Then  $\vec{v}$  is parallel to the plane if and only if  $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$ . If  $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$  and  $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$  then  $(\vec{v} + \vec{w}) \cdot \vec{n} = 0$ ; if  $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$  then  $(c\vec{v}) \cdot \vec{n} = 0$ ; and  $0 \cdot \vec{n} = 0$ .
- (d) The set of all continuous functions of  $x$  defined on the interval  $[0, 1]$  such that  $f(1/2) = 0$  **is** a vector space. This set certainly contains the zero function. Since the set of all continuous functions of  $x$  defined on the interval  $[0, 1]$  (with no assumption about  $f(1/2)$ ) is a vector space, all we have to check is that if  $f(1/2) = 0$  and  $g(1/2) = 0$  then  $(f + g)(1/2) = 0$  and  $(cf)(1/2) = 0$ , which is clear.

**4.2 #3.** We want to determine whether or not there exist scalars  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  such that

$$1 - 2x + x^2 = c_1(1 + x^2) + c_2(x^2 - x) + c_3(3 - 2x).$$

Collecting terms terms of the same degree gives

$$1 - 2x + x^2 = (c_1 + 3c_3) + (-c_2 - 2c_3)x + (c_1 + c_2)x^2$$

so we want to know whether the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}c_1 + 3c_3 &= 1 \\ -c_2 - 2c_3 &= -2 \\ c_1 + c_2 &= 1\end{aligned}$$

has a solution. Using our usual methods of solving systems of linear equations, we find that there is a solution:  $c_1 = -1/5$ ,  $c_2 = 6/5$ ,  $c_3 = 2/5$ . So

$$1 - 2x + x^2 = \frac{-1}{5}(1 + x^2) + \frac{6}{5}(x^2 - x) + \frac{2}{5}(3 - 2x),$$

and  $1 - 2x + x^2$  is in the subspace generated by  $1 + x^2$ ,  $x^2 - x$ ,  $3 - 2x$ .

**4.2 #4.** We want to determine whether or not there exist scalars  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} = c_1 \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -1/3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} + c_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Looking at each entry of the matrix, we want to determine whether the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}3c_1 &= 4 \\ 4c_1 + 2c_2 + 2c_3 &= 3 \\ c_1 - c_2/3 + 6c_3 &= 1 \\ 2c_1 + 4c_2 + c_3 &= -2\end{aligned}$$

has a solution. By our usual methods of solving systems of linear equations, we find that this system is inconsistent, and so the matrix is not in the given subspace.

**4.2 #7.** If  $A$  is a scalar multiple of  $B$  or vice-versa, then  $A$  and  $B$  certainly do not generate all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ : this is because  $A$  and  $B$  lie on the same line, and every linear combination of  $A$  and  $B$  lies on that line as well.

Now we have to show that if  $A$  and  $B$  are not scalar multiples of one another, then they do generate all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Here is one way to do it. Let  $A = (s, t)$  and  $B = (u, v)$ . First, prove that  $A$  and  $B$  are scalar multiples of one another if and only if  $sv - tu = 0$ . (Check this!!) So we know  $sv - tu \neq 0$ ; that is, the determinant of

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} s & t \\ u & v \end{pmatrix}$$

is not zero, and so  $M$  is invertible. We want to show that  $A, B$  generate  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ; that is, we want to show that the system of equations

$$c_1A + c_2B = (x, y)$$

in the variables  $c_1, c_2$  has a solution for *any*  $(x, y)$ . This is the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}c_1s + c_2t &= x \\ c_1u + c_2v &= y,\end{aligned}$$

i.e. it is the system of equations

$$M \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $M$  is invertible, the system does have a (unique) solution.

### 4.3 #1.

(a) We want to decide whether or not there exist  $c_1, c_2, c_3$ , not all zero, such that

$$c_1 \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ \sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 + \sqrt{-1} \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} + c_3 \begin{pmatrix} -5 \\ 3\sqrt{-1} \\ -6 + 2\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

That is, we want to know whether the system of equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & -5 \\ 2 & 3 + \sqrt{-1} & 3\sqrt{-1} \\ \sqrt{-1} & -2 & -6 + 2\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

has a nontrivial solution. By Theorem 2.3.5 on page 59, this is the case if and only if the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & -5 \\ 2 & 3 + \sqrt{-1} & 3\sqrt{-1} \\ \sqrt{-1} & -2 & -6 + 2\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is not invertible. But the determinant of this matrix is  $44 + 5\sqrt{-1}$ , so it is invertible, and the set  $S$  is linearly independent.

(b) It is easy to check that

$$a(x^3 - x^2 - x + 3) + b(x^2 + 1) + c(x - 1) = 0$$

implies  $a = b = c = 0$ . (First  $a = 0$  because the  $x^3$  term must be zero, then  $b = 0$  because of the  $x^2$  term, then  $c = 0$ .) So the set  $S$  is linearly independent.

(c) Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 12 & -7 \\ 17 & 6 \end{pmatrix} = 3 \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 6 & 4 \end{pmatrix} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -1/2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

the set  $S$  is linearly dependent. But how did we find this? Use the method that we used in (a) and (b): turn the problem into a system of equations and solve the system, but this time we find that there is a nontrivial solution. We are considering the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 12 \\ -3 & 1 & -7 \\ 6 & -1/2 & 17 \\ 4 & -3 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

and you can check that in row echelon form this matrix has 2 pivots. Since there are fewer pivots than columns, the set  $S$  is dependent.

**4.3 #2.** True. If  $X$  is a set containing  $0$ , then

$$c \cdot 0 = 0$$

a linear dependence in  $X$  for any nonzero  $c$ . (That is, multiply the zero vector by  $c$ , and every other vector in  $X$  by zero.)

**4.3 #3.** True. A set  $X$  is linearly independent if and only if every nontrivial linear combination of vectors in  $X$  is nonzero. If  $Y$  is a subset of  $X$ , then every nontrivial linear combination of vectors in  $Y$  is also a nontrivial linear combination of vectors in  $X$ , so is not zero. Therefore  $Y$  is linearly independent.

**4.3 #4.** False. For instance, suppose  $X$  is the set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  containing  $(1, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1)$ , and  $(1, 1)$ . This set is linearly independent. But the subset consisting of the two vectors  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$  is linearly independent.

**4.3 #5, Solution 1.** Let  $u, v, w$  be three vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . By 4.2 #7, either  $u$  and  $v$  are linearly dependent (in which case  $u, v, w$  are certainly linearly dependent), or else  $u$  and  $v$  generate  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . But if  $u, v$  generate  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , then there exist  $c_1, c_2$  such that  $c_1u + c_2v = w$ , so

$$c_1u + c_2v - w = 0$$

which shows that  $u, v, w$  are linearly dependent as well. So either way, these three vectors are linearly dependent.

**4.3 #5, Solution 2.** Let  $u = (x_1, y_1)$ ,  $v = (x_2, y_2)$ ,  $w = (x_3, y_3)$  be three vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We need to show that there exist  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  not all zero such that

$$c_1u + c_2v + c_3w = 0.$$

This is equivalent to the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}x_1c_1 + x_2c_2 + x_3c_3 &= 0 \\y_1c_1 + y_2c_2 + y_3c_3 &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

(Note that in this system of equations, the variables are  $c_1, c_2, c_3$ !) Since this is a homogeneous system of 2 equations in 3 unknowns, there is always a nontrivial solution, by Corollary 2.1.4 on p. 45 of the book.

The generalization is that  $m$  vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are always linearly dependent if  $m > n$ .

**4.3 #7.** Let  $E_{ij}$  be the  $m$ -by- $n$  matrix which has a 1 in the  $i, j$  entry and zeros elsewhere. Since there are  $m$  choices for  $i$  and  $n$  choices for  $j$ , there are  $mn$  such matrices. I claim that they are linearly independent. We have to prove that if

$$\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}E_{ij} = 0$$

then  $a_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i, j$ . But this is clear, since  $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}E_{ij}$  is just the matrix  $(a_{ij})$ .

**4.3 #10. No!** For instance, suppose  $u = (1, 0)$ ,  $v = (0, 1)$ , and  $w = (1, 1)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . It is easy to see that set  $\{u, v\}$  is linearly independent, as is  $\{u, w\}$  and  $\{v, w\}$ . But  $\{u, v, w\}$  is

linearly *dependent*, since  $u + v - w = 0$  is a linear dependence among them (or, because they are three vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , so are automatically dependent by 4.3 #5).