

## Practice Exam 2

### Math 527a – Principles of Analysis

(a)  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of natural numbers  $1, 2, 3, \dots$ .  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  belongs to a collection  $\mathcal{T}$  if  $A = \emptyset$  or  $\sup\{n : n \notin A\} < \infty$ . Show that  $\mathcal{T}$  defines a topology on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Is this topology Hausdorff?

**Solution**

$\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}$  by definition and  $x \in \mathbb{N}^c \implies x \leq 0$ , so it follows that  $\mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{T}$ .

If  $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ , it follows that  $(U \cap V)^c = U^c \cup V^c$ , so that  $\sup\{n : n \notin U \cap V\} \leq \max(\sup\{n : n \notin U\}, \sup\{n : n \notin V\}) < \infty$ , showing that  $U \cap V \in \mathcal{T}$ .

If  $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is an arbitrary collection of open sets in  $\mathcal{T}$  and the union  $(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} U_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ , then there is at least one set, call it  $U_\beta$  such that  $U_\beta \neq \emptyset$ . Now looking at the complement of the union we have

$$\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} U_\alpha\right)^c = \left[\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \neq \beta} U_\alpha^c\right] \cap U_\beta^c \subseteq U_\beta^c,$$

so that

$$\sup\left\{n : n \notin \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} U_\alpha\right)\right\} \leq \sup\{n : n \notin U_\beta\} < \infty$$

This shows that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a topology.

Note also, if  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are distinct elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $n_1 \in U_1, n_2 \in U_2$  where  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are open, it follows that  $U_1 \neq \emptyset$  so  $\sup\{n : n \notin U_1\} = N_1 < \infty$  and likewise,  $\sup\{n : n \notin U_2\} = N_2 < \infty$ . If  $n = \max(N_1, N_2) + 1$ , it follows that  $n \in U_1 \cap U_2$ . Since  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  were arbitrary open sets containing  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  it follows that  $\mathcal{T}$  is not Hausdorff.

(b) A topology on the space of smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  is defined by the sets

$$U(\phi, m, n, \epsilon) = \left\{ \psi \text{ is a smooth function, } \left| \frac{d^k(\phi - \psi)}{dx^k} \right| < \epsilon, \forall |x| < n, 0 \leq k \leq m \right\}.$$

Define a map from smooth functions to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by  $\phi \mapsto \left(\phi(0) - \phi(1), \int_0^1 [\phi'(x)]^2 dx\right)$ . Show that this map is continuous.

### Solution

Given a smooth function  $\phi$  and  $\eta > 0$ . Let  $g$  denote the map in question, *i.e.*

$$g[\phi] = \left( \phi(0) - \phi(1), \int_0^1 [\phi'(x)]^2 dx \right).$$

Let  $M = \max_{x \in [0,1]} (|\phi'(x)|)$ . Since  $\phi$  is smooth,  $M < \infty$ . We need to impose conditions on the function and its first derivative over and interval  $[0, 1]$ . Consequently, we look for neighborhoods of the form  $U(\phi, 1, 1, \epsilon)$  with the goal of finding a suitable  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\psi \in U(\phi, 1, 1, \epsilon) \implies \|g[\psi] - g[\phi]\|_2 < \eta.$$

If  $\epsilon < \eta/4$ , it is clear that  $\psi \in U(\phi, 1, 1, \epsilon) \implies |\phi(0) - \phi(1) - \psi(0) + \psi(1)| \leq |\phi(0) - \psi(0)| + |\phi(1) - \psi(1)| < 2\epsilon = \eta/2$ .

If  $\epsilon < 1$ , it follows that, for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |[\phi'(x)]^2 - [\psi'(x)]^2| &= |\phi'(x) + \psi'(x)| \cdot |\phi'(x) - \psi'(x)| \\ &\leq (2|\phi'(x)| + 1)|\phi'(x) - \psi'(x)| \\ &\leq (2M + 1)|\phi'(x) - \psi'(x)| \end{aligned}$$

If  $\epsilon < \eta/(4M + 2)$  also, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 [\phi'(x)]^2 dx - \int_0^1 [\psi'(x)]^2 dx \right| &\leq \int_0^1 |[\phi'(x)]^2 - [\psi'(x)]^2| dx \\ &\leq (2M + 1) \frac{\eta}{4M + 2} = \frac{\eta}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Combining all of the results, we see that setting  $\epsilon = \min(\eta, 1, \eta/(4M + 2))$  guarantees that  $\psi \in U(\phi, 1, 1, \epsilon) \implies \|g[\psi] - g[\phi]\|_2 < \eta$ , thereby proving that the map is continuous.

(c) The notes define what it means for two bases to be equivalent. Write down the negation of this statement, *i.e.*, define what it means for two bases to *not be equivalent*. Using this or otherwise, show that if  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$  are two first countable topologies on  $X$ , such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $\mathcal{T}_1$  if and only if it also converges in  $\mathcal{T}_2$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}_2$ . (Hint: Identity map!)

Went over this in class.

(d) Prove or disprove:  $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{S})$  is continuous.  $\mathcal{T}$  is second countable. Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is also second countable.

### Counterexample

$X = Y = \ell^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N})$ ,  $T = T_{metric}$ ,  $S = T_{weak}$  and  $f$  is the identity map. Since  $T_{weak} \subset T_{metric}$ , it follows that  $f$  is continuous. However,  $T_{weak}$  is not first countable, and hence cannot be second countable, whereas  $\ell^2$  is separable, so that  $T_{metric}$  is indeed second countable.

(e) If  $\mathbf{x}^{(n)}$  converges weakly to  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N})$ , show that, for each index  $i$ ,  $x_i^{(n)} \rightarrow x_i$ . Using this or otherwise, show that (strongly) closed unit  $\ell^2$  ball  $\{\mathbf{x} \mid \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq 1\}$  is also weakly closed. (Hint: Consider the functions  $f_k(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2$ . Note also that the (strongly) open unit ball  $\{\mathbf{x} \mid \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 < 1\}$  is *not* weakly open!)

Let  $\mathbf{e}^{(i)}$  be the  $i$ th vector in the “standard basis” of  $\ell^2$ , that is the  $i$ th entry is one and the rest of the entries are zero. Since  $\mathbf{x}^{(n)}$  converges weakly to  $\mathbf{x}$ , it follows that  $x_i^{(n)} = \langle \mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \mathbf{e}^{(i)} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e}^{(i)} \rangle = x_i$  proving the claim.

For each  $k$ ,  $f_k$  is obtained as a finite sum of the compositions of continuous functions (with respect to weak convergence!) and is hence continuous. Consequently, if  $\mathbf{x}^{(n)}$  converges weakly to  $\mathbf{x}$ , and  $\|\mathbf{x}^{(n)}\|_2 \leq 1$ , it follows that  $f_k(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}) \leq 1$  and  $f_k(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}) \rightarrow f_k(\mathbf{x})$ , so that  $f_k(\mathbf{x}) \leq 1$  for all  $k$ . Thus,  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = \lim_k f_k(\mathbf{x}) \leq 1$ .

This proves that the strongly closed unit ball is also weakly closed.