

3. LECTURE 3: LOWER BOUNDS FOR CANONICAL HEIGHTS

Today we'll be moving from K to \bar{K} , so we need to normalize our heights appropriately. This can be done (see notes) and gives height functions

$$\begin{aligned} h : \bar{K} &\longrightarrow [0, \infty), \\ \hat{h}_D : A(\bar{K}) &\longrightarrow [0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

[We always take $D \in \text{Div}(A)$ ample and symmetric.]

\hat{h}_D is “canonical” for $A(\bar{K})$. Similarly h is “canonical” for the group $\mathbb{G}_m(\bar{K}) = \bar{K}^*$.

$$\hat{h}_D : A(K) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \quad \text{satisfies} \quad \hat{h}_D[m]P = m^2 \hat{h}_D(P).$$

$$h : \mathbb{G}_m(K) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \quad \text{satisfies} \quad h(\alpha^m) = |m| \cdot h(\alpha).$$

Where do they vanish?

$\hat{h}_D(P) = 0 \iff P \in A(\bar{K})_{\text{tors}}$ <p style="text-align: center;">versus</p> $h(\alpha) = 0 \iff \alpha \in \mathbb{G}_m(\bar{K})_{\text{tors}} = \{\text{roots of unity}\}.$

This raises a fundamental question:

How small can the canonical height be, if it's not zero?

3.1. Small Heights for a Fixed A and Varying Fields.

Intuition: Small non-zero canonical height requires a large field extension.

Example 1: For $\mathbb{G}_m(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$,

$$h(2^{1/n}) = \frac{1}{n}h(2), \quad \text{but} \quad [\mathbb{Q}(2^{1/n}) : \mathbb{Q}] = \#\mu_n = n.$$

Example 2: Similarly, for $P \in A(K)$, we can take $Q \in [n]^{-1}(P)$ to get

$$\hat{h}_D(Q) = \frac{1}{n^2}\hat{h}_D(P), \quad \text{but} \quad [K(Q) : K] \approx \#A[n] = n^{2g}.$$

(Classical) Lehmer Conjecture: There is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$h(\alpha) \geq \frac{C}{[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}]} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{G}_m(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \setminus \mathbb{G}_m(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})_{\text{tors}}.$$

Best proven result:

Theorem (Dobrowolski, 1979):

$$h(\alpha) \geq \frac{C}{d} \cdot \left(\frac{\log \log d}{\log d} \right)^3 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{G}_m(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \setminus \mathbb{G}_m(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})_{\text{tors}}$$

where $d = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}]$.

Lehmer Conjecture for Abelian Varieties:

(Masser 1984): Let $g = \dim(A)$. There is a constant $C(A/K, D) > 0$ such that

$$\hat{h}_D(P) \geq \frac{C(A/K)}{[K(P) : K]^{1/g}} \quad \text{for all } P \in A(\bar{K}) \setminus A(\bar{K})_{\text{tors}}.$$

Some partial results (due to a bunch of different people, see notes):

Theorem: Let

$$d = d(K, P) = [K(P) : K],$$

$$C = C(A/K, D, \epsilon).$$

Then

- (a) $\hat{h}_D(P) \geq C/d^{2g+1+\epsilon}$ for all A/K .
- (b) $\hat{h}_D(P) \geq C/d^{1+\epsilon}$ if A/K has CM.
- (c) $\hat{h}_D(P) \geq C/d^{2+\epsilon}$ if $g = 1$ and $j(A) \notin R_K$.

There are two methods that have been used for Lehmer's problem (called informally):

1. **Transcendence Theory Method**
2. **Fourier Averaging Method**

Transcendence Theory Method: Let L/K with $d = [L : K]$. Look at

$$A(L, B) := \{P \in A(L) : \hat{h}_{A,D}(P) \leq B\}.$$

Goal is to show that

$$\#A\left(L, \frac{C_1}{d}\right) \leq C_2 d^{g+\epsilon}.$$

Exploit group law by considering

$$A(L, B)^{(g)} := \{P_1 + \cdots + P_g : P_1, \dots, P_g \in A(L, B)\},$$

so

$$\#A(L, B)^{(g)} \approx \frac{\#A(L, B)^g}{g!} \quad \text{lots of points,}$$

$$A(L, B)^{(g)} \subseteq A(L, g^2 B) \quad \text{with height not too large.}$$

Then

- (1) Construct a non-zero “small” (theta) function F on A that vanishes to high order at the points in $A(L, B)^{(g)}$.
- (2) Use Cauchy’s theorem to get upper bound for partial derivatives $|\partial F(Q)|$ for $Q \in A(L, B)^{(g)}$.
- (3) Use a zero-estimate from transcendence theory to get a lower bound for partial derivatives $|\partial F(Q)|$ for $Q \in A(L, B)^{(g)}$.
- (4) If $\#A(L, B)$ is sufficiently large, the upper and lower bounds contradict.

Note: Many details have been omitted!!

Fourier Averaging Method:

Up to now, primarily applied to $\dim(A) = 1$. [If time at end, say a few words about this.]

3.2. Small Heights for a Fixed K and Varying A/K . Returning to our fundamental question:

How small can the canonical height be, if it's not zero?

We move in an orthogonal direction:

Intuition: Small non-zero canonical height of a point requires a “complicated” abelian variety.

First step: How to measure the “complexity” (height) of an abelian variety. Some possibilities:

- Define $h(A/K)$ to be the smallest height of the coefficients of polynomials that describe a projective embedding of A/K . E.g. For an elliptic curve

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B, \quad \text{set}$$

$$h(E/K) := \min_{u \in K^*} h([1, u^4A, u^6B]).$$

- Let $\mathcal{A}_g \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g with a projective embedding. Then define

$$h(A/K) := h_{\mathcal{A}_g}(j(A)) + \log \mathbf{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Conductor}(A/K)).$$

Here $j(A) \in \mathcal{A}_g(K)$ is the moduli point associated to A .

Note: We need the conductor in (2) to deal with twists, i.e., abelian varieties with the same $j(A)$.

Dem’janenko–Lang Height Conjecture:

Let $P \in A(K)$ satisfy $\mathbb{Z} \cdot P$ is Zariski dense in A .
Then

$$\hat{h}_D(P) \geq C_1(K, g) \cdot h(A/K) - C_2(K, g).$$

Some Partial Results (by various people):

- (I) The DLH conjecture is true if $j(A)$ is at least ϵ -distance away from the boundary of $\mathcal{A}_g(\mathbb{C})^{\text{simple}}$.
- (II) The DLH conjecture is true for twists, i.e., for a fixed value of $j(A)$.
- (III) For $\dim(A) = 1$, the DLH conjecture is true for A with bounded Szpiro ratio $\frac{\log |\text{Disc}|}{\log |\text{Cond}|}$. In particular,

abc-conjecture \implies DLH conjecture for elliptic curves.

A brief word about the proofs:

- (I) Transcendence theory method.
- (II) K -rational points on twists give points in fields with large discriminant on original abelian variety.
- (III) Fourier averaging method.