Creating Mindful Manipulators

McCallum

# Creating Mindful Manipulators

William McCallum

Institute for Mathematics and Education
University of Arizona

MSRI Workshop on Teaching Algebra, 2008

#### Mathematics and mathematics education

Creating Mindful Manipulators

> William McCallum

#### Bill Thurston

Question: What is the task of a mathematician? Answer: The task of a mathematician is to increase human understanding of mathematics.

- This encompasses both research and education.
- Increasing human understanding of mathematics requires:
  - thinking about the mathematics
  - thinking about human understanding.
- Simultaneous attention to both is necessary ... but often forgotten.

## What is a polynomial?

Creating Mindful Manipulators

> William McCallum

- We ask students to factor and expand them, so they are expressions.
- We ask students to graph them, so they are functions.
- We ask students to find their roots, so they are equations.!?
- Students often don't distinguish between

$$p(x)$$
,  $y = p(x)$ , and  $p(x) = 0$ .

- Mathematical answer: Neither an expression nor a function. A polynomial is an element of a ring; it can be represented by many different expressions, but it is not a function.
- Pedagogical answer: Both an expression and a function. A polynomial can be regarded as either an expression or a function, depending on the context and the grade level.
- Our task (mathematicians and educators) is to reconcile these two answers.

### A shared task: mapping the curriculum

Creating Mindful Manipulators

- A mathematics curriculum has both logical structure and a pedagogical structure.
- Analysis of the first is a mathematical task.
- Analysis of the second is a task of mathematics education.
- Performing these tasks separately is like choosing the plants in a garden without knowing how they grow, then watering and feeding without knowing anything about them.
- We need analysis of the curriculum that is simultaneously mathematical and pedagogical.

## An algebra problem

Creating Mindful Manipulators

> William McCallum

> > The following question was given to 8th grade algebra students. You are simplifying

$$7 - 2(3 - 8x)$$
.

Which of the expressions is a correct next step?

	Correct	Not correct
5(3-8x)		
7-2(-5x)		
7 - 6 - 16x		
7 - 6 + 16x		

For each expression, explain why you made the choice you did.

### Student responses

Creating Mindful Manipulators

> William McCallum

$$7 - 2(3 - 8x)$$

- 7 2(-5x) is correct. Always do the parentheses first!
- $\blacksquare$  7 6 + 16x is not correct. Where did the 16 come from?
- Can't use the distributive law because of the negative 2.
- $\blacksquare$  7 6 + 16x is correct. You have to double multiply first.

#### Question for undergraduate education

What mathematical skills do we need to give teachers in our undergraduate courses in order to deal with these answers?

## Sample activity from algebra course

Creating Mindful Manipulators

> William McCallum

#### Problem

The expression

$$0.6\left(\frac{t_1+t_2+t_3}{3}\right)$$

is the contribution to a student's final score from three test scores. What is a different way of writing this? Which way should a student use in order to

- calculate the total test contribution to their final grade
- calculate the effect of getting 10 more points on test 2

#### Responses

$$0.6\left(\frac{t_1+t_2+t_3}{3}\right), 0.2t_1+0.2t_2+0.2t_3, \frac{t_1}{5}+\frac{t_2}{5}+\frac{t_3}{5}, \ldots$$

(1) 
$$0.6\left(\frac{t_1+t_2+t_3}{3}\right)$$
 (2)  $0.2t_1+0.2t_2+0.2t_3$ 

Creating Mindful Manipulators

Student A: I wrote (2) because I thought that the original expression said the average of the 3 tests was worth 60%, so each test was worth 20%. But I'm not sure it is right.

Student B: (1) and (2) are obviously the same!

Student A: How you can see that just by looking at them?

Student B: You just move the 3 over so it's dividing the 0.6, which gives you 0.2, then distributed the 0.2.

Instructor: How do you know you can move the 3 over? What rule says you can do that?

Student B: Isn't it because you only have division and

multiplication, so it's the commutative law? Instructor: But division isn't commutative.

Student C: But you can write division as multiplication. Just write it as multiplication by 1/3.

Student A: Oh yeah! [Discussion shifts to associative law.]