Question:

Consider the figure below.



Imagine that you were interested in trying to find a way to quantify, for lack of a better phrase, the "amount of flux emanating from a single point" on a vector field like the one above. It is clear that the vector field is radiating away from the origin, so we should imagine that the origin is a point from which a lot of flux emanates. How can you make this idea concrete?

Definition of Divergence

GEOMETRIC DEFINITION OF DIVERGENCE:

The *divergence*, or *flux density*, of a smooth vector field \vec{F} , written div \vec{F} , is a scalar-valued function defined by

div
$$\vec{F}(x, y, z) = \lim_{\text{Volume}(S) \to 0} \frac{\int_{S} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{A}}{\text{Volume}(S)}$$

Here S is a sphere centered at (x, y, z), oriented outward, that contracts down to (x, y, z) in the limit.

CARTESIAN COORDINATE DEFINITION OF DIVERGENCE: If $\vec{F} = F_1 \vec{i} + F_2 \vec{j} + F_3 \vec{k}$, then

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{F} = \nabla \cdot \vec{F} = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial z}$$

Example: Using the geometric definition of divergence, compute the divergence $\vec{F}(\vec{r}) = \vec{r}$ at the origin.

Why Do the Two Definitions Give the Same Result



Examples:

1. The vector field \vec{E} is defined as

$$\vec{E} = \frac{\vec{r}}{\|\vec{r}\|^p},$$

where $\vec{r} = x\vec{i} + y\vec{j} + z\vec{k}, \ \vec{r} \neq \vec{0}.$

For what value(s) of p is \vec{E} divergence free everywhere it is defined?

2. For each of the following vector fields, sketched in the xy-plane, decide if the divergence is positive, negative, or zero at the indicated point.



3. A smooth vector field \vec{F} has div $\vec{F}(1,2,3) = 5$. Estimate the flux of \vec{F} out of a small sphere of radius 0.01 centered at the point (1,2,3).

4. The flux of \vec{F} out of a small sphere of radius 0.1 centered at (4, 5, 2), is 0.0125. Estimate div \vec{F} at (4, 5, 2).